College of Agricultural Sciences

The 2021 CSU Employee Climate Survey is a component of a biennial assessment conducted in the fall to assess employee perceptions related to their department/unit, division/college, and CSU. The 2021 is based on the survey developed in 2018 by the Assessment Group for Diversity Issues, a CSU service committee. The 2021 instrument focuses on employment themes of culture, leadership accountability, respect, favoritism, communication, discriminatory attitudes, and performance review.

Most items use a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree). Tables appearing in Frequencies of Results show the complete set of survey frequencies by theme (e.g., Work Culture, Performance Review, Leadership Accountability, etc.) as well as the total (weighted population). On many of the survey items, respondents could choose a non-evaluative response such as "Don't know," "NA" or "Prefer not to disclose." These responses, along with missing data, have been excluded from all analyses. Subgroup comparisons by gender, minoritized status, and employment type are based on the proportion of respondents who "strongly agree" or "agree" with each item and statistically significant differences (p < .05) between subgroup members are noted within the tables. Additionally, an overall index score representing the average percent agree (e.g., Work Culture Overall) appears within each table. For example, the Work Culture theme includes 13 individual survey items. If an employee selected "agree" or "strongly agree" to 9 out of the 13 items, their Work Culture index score would equal 69%. An employee must have answered all survey items within a theme in order for an index score to be calculated. An overall index score represents the average of the individual index scores or all employees included in the group or category.

Table 1 displays the total number of employees contacted for the survey, the number of employees that responded, and the response rate.

	Sent	Bounce	Opt-out and refusal	Completed	Response rate*
College of Agricultural Sciences	403		16	156	38.9%
Agricultural Biology	54	0	1	22	40.7%
Agricultural Experiment Station	9	0	0	3	33.3%
Agricultural Research Development and Education Center	13	0	0	5	38.5%
Agricultural and Resource Economics	39	0	0	21	53.8%
Animal Sciences	83	0	7	34	41.0%
Arkansas Valley Research Center	3	0	1	0	0.0%
Eastern Colorado Research Center	4	0	0	0	0.0%
Horticulture and Landscape Architecture	44	0	1	14	31.8%
Office of Dean	26	1	2	15	60.0%
Plainsman Research Center	3	0	0	0	0.0%
Plant Growth Facility	2	0	0	1	50.0%
San Luis Valley Research Center	21	0	0	9	42.9%
Soil and Crop Sciences	85	0	3	26	30.6%
Southwestern Colorado Research Center	4	0	0	1	25.0%
Western Colorado Research Center	13	1	1	5	41.7%

Table 1: Response Rates by Department/Unit

* Response rate = Completed / (Sent - Bounce)

When the proportions of a demographic subgroup in the survey sample differ substantially from known population proportions and when members within a subgroup may meaningfully diverge on variables of interest, data weighting can provide a more accurate summary of the true population response than simple averaging. For the 2021 survey, representation gender, racially minoritized status, and employment type were compared to known population norms. Results of the weighting scheme are displayed in the Table 2.

		Division population norm	Unweighted survey sample	Weighted survey population
Respondent gender	Man	49%	39%	48%
	Woman	51%	58%	49%
	Trans, nonbinary, nonconforming (T/NB/NC)		3%	3%
Racially minoritized	Racially minoritized	12%	11%	11%
status	Non-racially minoritized	89%	89%	89%
Employee type	Admin Professional	49%	43%	49%
	Contract, continuing, and adjunct (CCA)	13%	13%	14%
	Tenure or Tenure-track (T/TT) Faculty	27%	34%	28%
	State Classified	6%	8%	6%
	Other Salaried Employee	5%	2%	4%

Table 2: 2021 Employee Climate Survey Weighting Results

Results are weighted by gender, racially minoritized status, and employee type.

Frequencies of Results

The following tables display the complete set of frequencies for each survey question asked on the survey. Percentages and proportions of respondents providing a given response are abbreviated to 'Pct' and the number of respondents representing the total weighted division population are also provided under the abbreviated heading of 'Pop.'

Table 3: Work Culture

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your agreement with the following statements about work culture.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	
My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included	8.2%	15.1%	14.5%	36.5%	25.6%	100.0%	398
My department/unit treats all employees equitably	9.6%	14.0%	22.3%	33.3%	20.8%	100.0%	398
My department/unit is open and transparent in communication	9.1%	15.1%	17.3%	39.7%	18.8%	100.0%	395
My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions	6.4%	16.9%	18.6%	34.4%	23.7%	100.0%	393
My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences	6.3%	6.8%	17.9%	38.1%	30.9%	100.0%	394
My department/unit understands the value of diversity	5.0%	9.4%	15.6%	41.0%	29.0%	100.0%	395
My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity	4.8%	11.0%	16.6%	34.7%	32.8%	100.0%	395
I feel valued as an employee	12.4%	12.1%	20.7%	37.9%	16.9%	100.0%	393
I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU	13.4%	20.8%	22.1%	27.5%	16.1%	100.0%	395
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college	10.5%	21.0%	24.3%	30.5%	13.8%	100.0%	395
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit	9.6%	13.0%	26.2%	31.7%	19.4%	100.0%	393
I would recommend CSU as a place of employment	5.3%	10.7%	23.0%	40.0%	21.0%	100.0%	395
I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment	8.1%	8.8%	24.4%	34.8%	23.9%	100.0%	393

Table 4: Performance Review in Last Year

	Yes, I had a review	No, I did not have a review	Total (Pct Pop)
Did you have a performance review in the last year?	78.9%	21.1%	100.0% 393

Table 5: Performance Review

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about your most recent performance review.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	
I am satisfied with the effort my supervisor put into my most recent performance review	4.8%	7.9%	11.2%	37.4%	38.7%	100.0%	310
I fear negative job consequences if I am to raise an issue of unfair treatment during my review	37.9%	26.6%	15.1%	15.3%	5.1%	100.0%	310
I am aware of the process to mediate disagreements with my supervisor regarding my evaluation.	2.0%	20.2%	16.2%	41.6%	19.9%	100.0%	310

Asked only of those respondents who had a performance review in the last year.

|--|

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about respect.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	-
My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division	2.5%	14.9%	25.8%	42.9%	13.9%	100.0%	393
My college/division is treated with respect by CSU	5.8%	13.0%	30.2%	35.5%	15.6%	100.0%	390
The people I interact with treat each other with respect	4.7%	13.1%	12.7%	44.4%	25.1%	100.0%	393
There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit	3.1%	8.3%	35.4%	30.2%	22.9%	100.0%	391
There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit	5.1%	8.0%	20.7%	41.9%	24.4%	100.0%	391
There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit	6.5%	14.4%	30.7%	31.7%	16.7%	100.0%	391

Table 7: Favoritism

During the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about favoritism. Favoritism plays a role in who gets:	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
Recognized within my department/unit	10.9%	26.0%	25.4%	21.5%	16.2%	100.0% 389
Resources in my department/unit	8.2%	27.3%	29.8%	20.1%	14.7%	100.0% 389
Professional development opportunities	14.2%	32.9%	34.4%	11.4%	7.0%	100.0% 389
Promoted in my department/unit	13.6%	30.9%	32.3%	14.9%	8.3%	100.0% 389
Hired in my department/unit	10.5%	29.4%	30.8%	16.5%	12.7%	100.0% 389

Table 8: Leadership Accountability: College/Division Leadership

Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement about leadership accountability.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	6.8%	15.0%	30.7%	33.5%	14.0%	100.0%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	7.8%	18.7%	32.0%	27.3%	14.2%	100.0%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	10.8%	16.5%	44.3%	23.6%	4.8%	100.0%	386
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	4.8%	7.9%	25.7%	43.7%	18.0%	100.0%	386
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	8.1%	17.3%	37.2%	26.2%	11.3%	100.0%	383
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	13.1%	18.7%	32.4%	29.5%	6.3%	100.0%	386

Table 5. Leadership Accountability. Departmen		omp					
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement about leadership accountability.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	9.4%	13.4%	24.1%	36.9%	16.3%	100.0%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	10.6%	13.0%	27.4%	32.0%	17.0%	100.0%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	8.6%	20.8%	38.4%	25.3%	6.9%	100.0%	386
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	4.8%	7.9%	25.7%	43.7%	18.0%	100.0%	386
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	9.0%	14.5%	24.6%	37.4%	14.4%	100.0%	384
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	14.8%	18.3%	28.1%	27.6%	11.2%	100.0%	386

Table 9: Leadership Accountability: Department/Unit Leadership

Table 10: Climate: CSU Overall

Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	2.6%	6.6%	21.5%	51.4%	17.9%	100.0%	390
Improves the campus climate for all employees	6.5%	12.1%	28.1%	37.7%	15.7%	100.0%	389
Retains diverse employees	1.6%	13.3%	40.0%	34.1%	11.0%	100.0%	386
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	2.3%	11.3%	30.2%	43.4%	12.7%	100.0%	386
Encourages discussions related to diversity	0.7%	4.1%	17.0%	50.5%	27.7%	100.0%	386
Provides employees with a positive work experience	2.9%	15.5%	19.3%	45.3%	17.0%	100.0%	386
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	3.2%	12.0%	32.2%	33.7%	18.9%	100.0%	386

Table 11: Climate: Department/Unit

Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Tota (Pct P	-
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	7.2%	10.1%	16.4%	45.0%	21.3%	100.0%	390
Improves the campus climate for all employees	5.6%	9.0%	28.2%	40.1%	17.1%	100.0%	389
Retains diverse employees	6.7%	11.5%	34.3%	34.5%	13.0%	100.0%	386
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	2.3%	11.3%	30.2%	43.4%	12.7%	100.0%	386
Encourages discussions related to diversity	3.2%	9.8%	15.8%	46.5%	24.7%	100.0%	386
Provides employees with a positive work experience	6.7%	12.6%	17.8%	40.2%	22.7%	100.0%	381
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	3.1%	11.5%	34.8%	30.7%	19.9%	100.0%	385

Table 12: Communications: CSU Overall

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about communications.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
Communications are effective	10.1%	16.3%	27.3%	37.7%	8.5%	100.0% 371
Communications are timely	7.8%	14.0%	18.5%	47.5%	12.1%	100.0% 370
Communications are relevant	7.1%	23.3%	23.1%	36.8%	9.6%	100.0% 372
Communications are informative	6.3%	6.6%	34.7%	41.0%	11.4%	100.0% 374
Communications are motivating	15.7%	19.9%	35.4%	20.6%	8.3%	100.0% 373
Communications are honest	10.3%	14.1%	30.6%	34.1%	10.9%	100.0% 374
Communications are accessible	3.4%	3.5%	21.4%	52.4%	19.4%	100.0% 374

Table 13: Communications: Division/College						
Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about communications.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
Communications are effective	5.0%	16.6%	23.5%	46.3%	8.6%	100.0% 371
Communications are timely	6.2%	8.7%	22.8%	50.6%	11.7%	100.0% 370
Communications are relevant	2.8%	11.2%	30.2%	45.9%	9.9%	100.0% 370
Communications are informative	1.4%	10.8%	27.7%	49.0%	10.9%	100.0% 374
Communications are motivating	10.2%	17.0%	39.9%	25.5%	7.5%	100.0% 370
Communications are honest	3.9%	9.9%	32.9%	41.1%	12.2%	100.0% 374
Communications are accessible	2.4%	3.6%	22.9%	51.9%	19.2%	100.0% 374

Table 14: Communications: Department/Unit

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about communications.	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
Communications are effective	4.1%	18.5%	11.7%	49.2%	16.6%	100.0% 368
Communications are timely	4.8%	11.1%	20.4%	46.2%	17.6%	100.0% 370
Communications are relevant	1.7%	10.4%	24.6%	47.4%	15.8%	100.0% 374
Communications are informative	1.7%	10.7%	22.1%	47.8%	17.5%	100.0% 374
Communications are motivating	9.0%	18.2%	34.6%	27.6%	10.6%	100.0% 374
Communications are honest	5.1%	8.5%	27.3%	42.0%	17.1%	100.0% 373
Communications are accessible	3.0%	3.5%	21.9%	52.8%	18.7%	100.0% 374

Table 15: Communicated Feedback

	Yes, I have the opportunity to provide feedback	Maybe, I can provide feedback in limited situations	No, I don't have an opportunity to provide feedback	Total (Pct Pop)
During the past 12 months, have you had the opportunity to communicate feedback to CSU?	40.6%	42.2%	17.3%	100.0% 378

When I use the following channels, leadership is responsive to my feedback:	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
One on one conversations with my supervisor	4.8%	10.1%	9.8%	32.3%	43.0%	100.0% 307
My representation in shared governance (CPC, APC, or Faculty Council)	2.5%	7.1%	63.9%	16.9%	9.6%	100.0% 304
My service on committees	0.8%	6.5%	41.7%	39.9%	11.0%	100.0% 304
Annual review process	4.6%	12.5%	28.0%	34.3%	20.6%	100.0% 303
Input collection through anonymous surveys	8.8%	15.8%	37.9%	30.4%	7.1%	100.0% 302

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback.

Table 17: Feedback Valued						
When I give feedback it is valued by:	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	Total (Pct Pop)
		U	•			· · · · /
CSU overall	14.3%	17.3%	43.2%	21.1%	4.1%	100.0% 304
My division/college	6.2%	13.1%	41.8%	31.0%	7.9%	100.0% 303
My department/unit	9.3%	8.9%	19.6%	37.2%	25.0%	100.0% 308

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback.

Table 18: Discriminatory Attitudes

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes	Problema CSL		Problematic in by Division/College		Problematic Departmen	-	
are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	
Age	7.2%	29	8.7%	35	10.6%	42	
Physical appearance	6.0%	24	8.9%	35	7.9%	32	
Physical disability	9.1%	36	6.8%	27	5.2%	21	
Mental disability	4.9%	20	5.8%	23	3.2%	13	
Employment classification	19.4%	77	16.3%	65	21.0%	84	
Gender identity	7.6%	30	14.2%	56	14.7%	58	
Job title	14.2%	56	15.4%	61	15.6%	62	
Parental status	7.2%	29	6.6%	26	9.8%	39	
Religion	12.5%	50	6.6%	26	5.7%	23	
Political affiliation	25.5%	101	23.9%	95	20.6%	82	
Sexual orientation	4.4%	17	8.5%	34	7.0%	28	
Socio-economic status	8.2%	33	8.9%	36	10.6%	42	
Ethnic origin	5.8%	23	11.0%	44	9.5%	38	
Veteran status	1.2%	5	0.6%	*	1.3%	5	
Race or color	15.3%	61	13.2%	52	12.9%	51	
Marital status	1.9%	8	1.9%	8	5.1%	20	
Nationality/country of origin	5.7%	22	6.0%	24	6.8%	27	
None/no response	52.9%	211	57.7%	230	58.0%	231	

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 19: Other Potential Problems

Please indicate if any of the following are	Problematic at CSU		Problemation Division/Co		Problematic in my Department/Unit		
currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	
Sexual harassment	10.2%	41	7.3%	29	7.5%	30	
Bullying	10.8%	43	10.3%	41	14.4%	57	
Bias	21.6%	86	24.2%	96	24.5%	98	
Physical assault	1.0%	4	0.6%	*	0.6%	*	
Sexual misconduct	3.8%	15	2.3%	9	2.8%	11	
Verbal abuse	5.9%	23	6.7%	27	9.0%	36	
None/no response	74.7%	297	72.7%	289	69.8%	278	

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Table 20: Gender

Gender (Select all that apply):	Pct	Рор
Agender	1.4%	5
Cisgender	13.6%	50
Trans / Transgender	0.0%	*
Non-binary / Gender Queer / Gender Non-Conforming	1.4%	5
Man	44.9%	164
Trans Man / Masculine	0.7%	*
Trans Woman / Feminine	0.0%	*
Two Spirit	0.0%	*
Woman	47.4%	173
Prefer not to disclose	4.2%	15
The gender I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.0%	*

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 21: Gender Scales

Gender is often not easily captured through categorical	Fe	minin	e	Mas	sculir	ne	Andr	ogyn	ous
measures. Gender is much more complex and nuanced. In an attempt to understand this complexity and how various genders experience the campus, we are using these scales to measure how individuals view themselves. Scaled measures of gender, as seen below, are also an attempt to understand the experiences of all genders on campus. In general, how do you see yourself? (please answer all three scales)	Pct	Рор	Mean	Pct	Рор	Mean	Pct	Рор	Mean
0 Not at all	41.7%	143		31.5%	108		82.4%	283	
1	5.3%	18		12.4%	43		5.3%	18	
2	3.3%	11		4.7%	16		6.3%	22	
3	8.4%	29		2.2%	8		2.9%	10	
4	10.1%	35		10.6%	36		0.7%	*	
5	11.5%	40		18.0%	62		2.4%	8	
6 Very	19.6%	67		20.6%	71		0.0%	*	
Total	100.0%	344	2.53	100.0%	344	2.84	100.0%	344	.41

Table 22: Race/Ethnicity

Race and/or Ethnicity (Select all that apply):	Pct	Рор
Native American or Alaska Native or First Nations	0.5%	*
Asian (can include Middle Eastern and North African)	1.9%	7
Black or African American (can include Middle Eastern and North African)	2.0%	7
Hispanic or Latinx	5.4%	20
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.0%	*
White	83.5%	309
Prefer not to disclose	7.6%	28
The race/ethnicity I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.6%	*

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 23: Black or African American

You indicated that you identify as Black or African American, please select any additional ide that you align with (select all that apply):	entities Pct	Por
Black American	100.0%	67
Caribbean	67.1%	6 5
Eastern Africa (i.e., Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia)	0.0%	, 'i
Central Africa (i.e., Congo, Zaire)	0.0%	, · · ·
Northern Africa (i.e., Morocco, Sudan)	0.0%	, 'i
Southern Africa (i.e., South Africa)	0.0%	ó '
Western Africa (i.e., Ghana, Nigeria)	0.0%	, · · ·
Prefer not to disclose	0.0%	, 'i
The Black identity that I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.0%	ó '
Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.		

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 24: Native American or Alaska Native or First Nations

You indicated that you identify as Native American or Alaska Native or First Nations,	please list your	
Tribal Nation affiliation(s) in the text box below.	Pct	Рор
Apache	0.0%	′ *
Cherokee	0.0%	′ *
Oglala Lakota Sioux	0.0%	′ *
Navajo/Diné	0.0%	′ *
Pueblo (e.g., Acoma, Cochiti, Taos)	100.0%	′ * 0
Another tribal affiliation	0.0%	′ * 0
Unknown/not disclosed	0.0%	° *
Total may avaged 100% as reasondants could relact more than one option		

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Categories coded from write-in responses.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 25: Hispanic or Latinx

You indicated that you identify as Hispanic or Latinx, please select any additional identities that you		
align with (select all that apply):	Pct	Pop
Mexican or Chicano/a	44.6%	9
Caribbean	6.7%	*
Puerto Rican	6.7%	*
Cuban	0.0%	*
Central American	0.0%	*
South American	48.7%	10
Prefer not to disclose	0.0%	*
The Latinx/Hispanic identity that I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.0%	*
Spanish or Portuguese	0.0%	*
Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option		

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 26: Asian

		4
You indicated that you identify as Asian, please select any additional identities that you align with (select all that apply):	Pct	Рор
Central Asians (i.e., Afghani, Armenian, Azerbaijani, Georgians, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, Mongolian, Tajik, Turkman,		*
Uzbek)	0.0%	
Southeast Asians (i.e., Bruneian, Burmese, Cambodian, Filipino, Hmong, Indonesian, Laotian, Malaysian, Mien,	0.0%	*
Singaporean, Timorese, Thai, Vietnamese)		
South Asians (i.e., Bangladeshi, Bhutanese, Indian, Maldivians, Nepali, Pakistani, Sri Lankan)	66.7%	-
East Asians (i.e., Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Okinawan, Taiwanese, Tibetan)	33.3%	*
West Asians/Middle East (i.e., Bahrain, Rian, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Palestine, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, Yemen)	0.0%	*
Prefer not to disclose	0.0%	*
The Asian identity that I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.0%	*

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 27: Hawaiian/Pacific Islander

You indicated that you identify as a Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, please select any additional identities that		
you align with (select all that apply):	Pct	Рор
Guamanian or Chamorro	0.0%	*
Native Hawaiian	0.0%	*
Samoan	0.0%	*
Prefer not to disclose	0.0%	*
The Pacific Islander identity that I most closely align with is not listed (please specify)	0.0%	*
Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.		

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 28: Disability

	Yes	No	Prefer not to respond	Total (Pct Pop)
Do you identify as a person with a disability?	10.5%	82.8%	6.7%	100.0% 372

Table 29: LGBTQIA+

	Yes	No	Unsure	Prefer not to respond	Total (Pct Pop)
Do you identify in the LGBTQIA+ community?	5.5%	88.2%	3.2%	3.1%	100.0% 372

Table 30: Department/Unit

	Pct	Рор
Agricultural Biology	14.7%	59
Agricultural Experiment Station	2.4%	9
Agricultural Research Development and Education Center	3.7%	15
Agricultural and Resource Economics	11.8%	47
Animal Sciences	19.9%	79
Horticulture and Landscape Architecture	8.7%	35
Office of Dean	10.1%	40
Plant Growth Facility	0.6%	*
San Luis Valley Research Center	6.2%	25
Soil and Crop Sciences	17.6%	70
Southwestern Colorado Research Center	0.6%	*
Western Colorado Research Center	3.7%	15
Total	100.0%	398

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 31: Employee Type

	Pct	Рор
Admin Professional	48.9%	195
CCAF Faculty	13.7%	54
Other Salaried Employee	3.9%	16
State Classified	5.6%	22
T or TT Faculty	27.9%	111
Total	100.0%	398

Comparisons by Gender

The gender of respondents is based on responses to the multiple response gender survey question (Table 20). If a respondent selected 'Man' alone or in combination with 'Cisgender, they are coded a 'Man.' Similarly, if a respondent selected 'Woman' alone or in combination with 'Cisgender,' they are coded as 'Woman.' If a respondent selected any combination of 'Agender,' 'Non-binary/Gender Queer/Gender Non-Conforming,' 'Trans/Transgender,' 'Trans Man/Masculine,' 'Trans Woman/Feminine,' and/or 'Two Spirit' they are coded as 'Trans, non-binary, or non-conforming' (T/NB/NC). Gender could not be determined for respondents who skipped the question or selected 'Prefer not to disclose;' these respondents are excluded from these analyses.

Table 32: Work Culture

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your agreement with the following statements about		Man t (A)		ian)	T/NB/NC (C)		Overall	
work culture.		Рор				Рор	Pct	Рор
WORK CULTURE OVERALL	62.0%	161	57.3%	161	42.3%	10	59.1%	333
My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included	70.9%	161	59.9%	170	50.0%	10	64.8%	342
My department/unit treats all employees equitably	58.6%	161	55.9%	170	50.0%	10	57.0%	342
My department/unit is open and transparent in communication	61.4%	161	59.7%	170	25.0%	10	59.5%	342
My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions	67.5% B C	161	51.4%	168	25.0%	10	58.3%	339
My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences	70.7%	161	66.3%	169	50.0%	10	67.9%	340
My department/unit understands the value of diversity	76.8% B	161	64.3%	170	50.0%	10	69.8%	342
My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity	68.7%	161	66.3%	170	75.0%	10	67.7%	342
I feel valued as an employee	64.3% B	161	45.2%	168	75.0%	10	55.2%	339
I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU	45.1%	161	42.1%	170	25.0%	10	43.0%	342
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college	48.5%	161	43.3%	170	25.0%	10	45.2%	342
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit	54.6%	161	47.5%	168	50.0%	10	50.9%	339
I would recommend CSU as a place of employment	59.6%	161	64.2% C	170	25.0%	10	60.8%	342
I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment	59.9%	161	61.7%	168	25.0%	10	59.7%	339

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 33: Performance Review in Last Year

Did you have a performance review in the last	Man (A)) (B) Pop Pct Pop		T/NB/N (C)	С	Overa	all	
year?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Yes, I had a review	91.4% B	148	62.4%	106	100.0% ¹	10	77.2%	264
No, I did not have a review	8.6%	14	37.6% A	64	0.0% ¹	*	22.8%	78
Total	100.0%	161	100.0%	170	100.0%	10	100.0%	342

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{*} Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.^{2,3}

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 34: Performance Review

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Man (A)		Man Woman T/NB/NC (A) (B) (C)				all	
statements about your most recent performance review.	Pct						Pct	Рор
PERFORMANCE REVIEW OVERALL	82.7%	148	77.9%	106	66.7%	10	80.1%	264
I am satisfied with the effort my supervisor put into my most recent performance review	79.7%	148	68.0%	106	75.0%	10	74.8%	264
I fear negative job consequences if I am to raise an issue of unfair treatment during my review*	20.4%	148	14.2%	106	25.0%	10	18.1%	264
I am aware of the process to mediate disagreements with my supervisor regarding my evaluation.	68.3% C	148	65.6% C	106	25.0%	10	65.5%	264

Asked only of those respondents who had a performance review in the last year.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.

*Reverse coded when included in overall rating^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05 2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 35: Respect

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Man (A)			Woman (B)		/NC)	Over	all
statements about respect.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
RESPECT OVERALL	57.3%	161	59.8%	166	58.3%	10	58.6%	338
My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division	58.6%	161	60.4%	170	50.0%	10	59.3%	342
My college/division is treated with respect by CSU	44.4%	161	60.9% A	168	50.0%	10	52.7%	339
The people I interact with treat each other with respect	72.0%	161	65.1%	170	75.0%	10	68.6%	342
There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit	49.2%	161	54.9%	169	50.0%	10	52.1%	340
There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit	75.1% B	161	62.2%	169	50.0%	10	67.9%	340
There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit	44.3%	161	50.2%	169	75.0%	10	48.2%	340

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 36: Favoritism

During the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about favoritism. Favoritism plays a	Man (A)					T/NB/NC (C)		rall
role in who gets:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
FAVORITISM OVERALL	24.4%	161	30.9%	169	35.0%	10	28.0%	340
Recognized within my department/unit	31.2%	161	43.4%	169	25.0%	10	37.1%	340
Resources in my department/unit	29.7%	161	39.5%	169	50.0%	10	35.2%	340
Professional development opportunities	17.3%	161	16.9%	169	25.0%	10	17.3%	340
Promoted in my department/unit	17.1%	161	26.5%	169	25.0%	10	22.0%	340
Hired in my department/unit	26.6%	161	28.4%	169	50.0%	10	28.2%	340

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item. a,b,c

a. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

	Man		Woman		n T/NB/		Over	all				
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	(A)	(A)		(A)		(A))	(C))		
agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop				
COLLEGE/DIVISION LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	46.6%	161	37.9%	161	29.2%	10	41.9%	332				
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	57.5% B	161	39.2%	163	25.0%	10	47.6%	335				
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	51.8% B	161	30.6%	163	25.0%	10	40.6%	335				
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	27.2%	161	27.2%	166	25.0%	10	27.1%	338				
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	66.9%	161	58.3%	166	50.0%	10	62.2%	338				
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	38.4%	161	42.1%	163	25.0%	10	39.8%	335				
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	38.0%	161	35.6%	166	25.0%	10	36.4%	338				

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the

category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 38: Leadership Accountability: Department/Unit Leadership

	Man		Man		Wom	an	T/NB/	/NC	Over	all		
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	(A)	(A)		(A)		(A) (E		(B))		
agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор				
DEPARTMENT/UNIT LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	54.5% B	159	41.8%	163	41.7%	10	47.8%	333				
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	61.9% B	161	43.3%	163	50.0%	10	52.5%	335				
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	59.0% B	161	36.3%	163	50.0%	10	47.7%	335				
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	36.2%	161	24.3%	166	25.0%	10	30.0%	338				
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	66.9%	161	58.3%	166	50.0%	10	62.2%	338				
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	54.4%	159	52.4%	166	25.0%	10	52.5%	335				
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	42.1%	161	35.5%	166	50.0%	10	39.1%	338				

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 39: Climate: CSU Overall

	Ma	Man		nan	T/NB/N		NC Over	
Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months,	(A	(A))	(C)			
please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU CLIMATE OVERALL	62.7%	161	58.8%	164	64.3%	10	60.9%	336
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	79.7% B	161	60.9%	170	75.0%	10	70.2%	342
Improves the campus climate for all employees	54.5%	161	55.7%	169	100.0% A B	10	56.5%	340
Retains diverse employees	53.7% B	161	38.8%	166	50.0%	10	46.3%	338
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	64.4%	161	52.5%	166	50.0%	10	58.1%	338
Encourages discussions related to diversity	78.6%	161	85.6% C	166	50.0%	10	81.2%	338
Provides employees with a positive work experience	53.4%	161	69.8% A	166	75.0%	10	62.1%	338
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	55.0%	161	51.8%	166	50.0%	10	53.3%	338

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 40: Climate: Department/Unit

	Man		Woman		n T/NB/I		Over	verall	
Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months,	(A)	(A))	(C)			
please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	
DEPARTMENT/UNIT CLIMATE OVERALL	62.4%	157	57.7%	163	50.0%	10	59.7%	330	
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	72.8%	161	62.0%	170	50.0%	10	66.7%	342	
Improves the campus climate for all employees	56.2%	161	59.9%	169	50.0%	10	57.8%	340	
Retains diverse employees	55.2% B	161	39.9%	166	50.0%	10	47.6%	338	
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	64.4%	161	52.5%	166	50.0%	10	58.1%	338	
Encourages discussions related to diversity	77.1%	161	69.1%	166	75.0%	10	73.1%	338	
Provides employees with a positive work experience	62.9%	157	66.7%	166	50.0%	10	64.4%	333	
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	55.9%	161	52.5%	165	25.0%	10	53.3%	336	

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 41: Communications: CSU Overall

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Man (A)				T/NB/N (C)		Over	rall
statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	47.2%	154	55.6%	163	46.4%	10	51.4%	327
Communications are effective	38.1%	158	53.7%	164	50.0%	10	46.2%	333
			A					
Communications are timely	54.7%	157	63.3%	164	75.0%	10	59.6%	332
Communications are relevant	43.4%	161	51.6%	164	50.0%	10	47.6%	336
Communications are informative	52.2%	161	55.7%	164	25.0%	10	53.1%	336
Communications are motivating	23.4%	161	39.9%	163	25.0%	10	31.5%	335
			A					
Communications are honest	41.2%	161	54.2%	164	25.0%	10	47.0%	336
Communications are accessible	80.0%	161	69.3%	164	75.0%	10	74.6%	336

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 42: Communications: Division/College

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Man (A)		Wom (B)		T/NB/NC (C)		Over	all
statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
COLLEGE/DIVISION COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	54.2%	149	58.4%	161	60.7%	10	56.5%	320
Communications are effective	53.3%	158	58.4%	164	75.0%	10	56.5%	333
Communications are timely	58.1%	157	66.1%	164	75.0%	10	62.6%	332
Communications are relevant	54.5%	157	59.4%	164	75.0%	10	57.5%	332
Communications are informative	56.0%	161	62.9%	164	50.0%	10	59.2%	336
Communications are motivating	36.6%	161	36.1%	161	25.0%	10	36.0%	332
Communications are honest	54.5%	161	58.6%	164	50.0%	10	56.4%	336
Communications are accessible	76.5%	161	69.7%	164	75.0%	10	73.1%	336

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{a,b,c}

a. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 43: Communications: Department/Unit

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Man (A)		Woman (B)				Over	all
statements about communications.	Pct		_	Pop	_ 、 ′	Pop	Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	63.1%	154	58.9%	161	64.3%	10	61.0%	324
Communications are effective	65.2%	158	65.5%	162	100.0%	10	66.4%	330
Communications are timely	61.0%	157	65.0%	164	50.0%	10	62.6%	332
Communications are relevant	67.2%	161	59.5%	164	75.0%	10	63.7%	336
Communications are informative	71.0%	161	58.9%	164	50.0%	10	64.5%	336
Communications are motivating	46.5%	161	35.0%	164	25.0%	10	40.2%	336
Communications are honest	60.1%	161	59.9%	163	75.0%	10	60.5%	335
Communications are accessible	81.4%	161	64.3%	164	75.0%	10	72.8%	336
	В							

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 44: Communicated Feedback

	Man		Woman		T/NB/	NC	Over	all
During the past 12 months, have you had the opportunity to	(A)		(B)		(C)			
communicate feedback to CSU?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop
Yes, I have the opportunity to provide feedback	43.9%	70	40.0%	68	50.0%	5	42.1%	143
Maybe, I can provide feedback in limited situations	47.5%	76	38.7%	66	50.0%	5	43.2%	146
No, I don't have an opportunity to provide feedback	8.5%	14	21.4%	36	$0.0\%^{1}$	*	14.7%	50
			Α					
Total	100.0%	159	100.0%	170	100.0%	10	100.0%	339

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): $.05^*$ Values reported for items with $n \ge 3.^{2,3}$

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 45: Responsiveness to Feedback

When Luce the following channels, loadership is		Man (A)		Man (A)		an	T/NB/ (C)		Overall	
When I use the following channels, leadership is responsive to my feedback:	Pct	Рор	(B) Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
RESPONSIVENESS TO FEEDBACK OVERALL	54.3% B C	145	43.4%	124	30.0%	10	48.6%	280		
One on one conversations with my supervisor	74.1%	145	76.1%	128	50.0%	10	74.2%	284		
My representation in shared governance (CPC, APC, or Faculty Council)	30.8%	145	22.0%	128	25.0%	10	26.6%	284		
My service on committees	59.1% B	145	40.7%	126	50.0%	10	50.6%	281		
Annual review process	61.8% B	145	44.3%	127	25.0%	10	52.6%	283		
Input collection through anonymous surveys	45.9% B C	145	30.8%	126	0.0%	10	37.5%	281		

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback. Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 46: Feedback Valued

	Man (A)	۱	Woman (B)		T/NB/I (C)	NC	Overa	all
When I give feedback it is valued by:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
FEEDBACK VALUED OVERALL	39.9%	145	46.0%	124	25.0%	10	42.1%	280
CSU overall	22.8%	145	30.8%	126	25.0%	10	26.4%	281
My division/college	35.3%	145	43.2%	124	25.0%	10	38.4%	280
My department/unit	61.7%	145	63.0%	130	25.0%	10	61.0%	285

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback. Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{a,b,c}

a. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 47: Discriminatory Attitudes: CSU Overall

	Man		Woman		T/NB/NC		Over	all
Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	12.9%	21	3.1%	5	25.0%	*	8.4%	29
Physical appearance	8.6%	14	4.3%	7	0.0%	*	6.2%	21
Physical disability	13.4%	22	5.5%	9	25.0%	*	9.8%	34
Mental disability	4.2%	7	3.0%	5	50.0%	5	5.0%	17
Employment classification	21.3%	34	19.2%	33	25.0%	*	20.4%	70
Gender identity	8.6%	14	8.2%	14	0.0%	*	8.1%	28
Job title	15.4%	25	17.0%	29	0.0%	*	15.8%	54
Parental status	10.0%	16	5.8%	10	0.0%	*	7.6%	26
Religion	14.2%	23	8.4%	14	25.0%	*	11.6%	40
Political affiliation	33.6%	54	20.2%	34	0.0%	*	25.9%	89
Sexual orientation	5.8%	9	3.1%	5	0.0%	*	4.3%	15
Socio-economic status	8.6%	14	8.1%	14	0.0%	*	8.1%	28
Ethnic origin	7.3%	12	5.2%	9	0.0%	*	6.0%	21
Veteran status	1.5%	*	1.5%	*	0.0%	*	1.5%	5
Race or color	19.4%	31	12.8%	22	0.0%	*	15.5%	53
Marital status	1.5%	*	3.0%	5	0.0%	*	2.2%	8
Nationality/country of origin	3.7%	6	6.7%	11	0.0%	*	5.1%	17
None/no response	45.3%	73	55.2%	94	25.0%	*	49.7%	170

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

Table 48: Discriminatory Attitudes: Division/College

	Man		Woman		n T/NB/NC		Over	all
Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	9.3%	15	9.9%	17	0.0%	*	9.4%	32
Physical appearance	10.5%	17	9.3%	16	0.0%	*	9.6%	33
Physical disability	10.6%	17	4.3%	7	0.0%	*	7.1%	24
Mental disability	8.0%	13	3.0%	5	25.0%	*	6.0%	21
Employment classification	7.8%	13	23.1%	39	25.0%	*	15.9%	54
Gender identity	14.3%	23	16.6%	28	25.0%	*	15.8%	54
Job title	9.8%	16	20.8%	35	25.0%	*	15.7%	54
Parental status	8.6%	14	5.8%	10	0.0%	*	6.9%	24
Religion	9.1%	15	3.8%	6	0.0%	*	6.2%	21
Political affiliation	28.4%	46	21.4%	36	0.0%	*	24.1%	82
Sexual orientation	10.6%	17	8.4%	14	0.0%	*	9.2%	31
Socio-economic status	6.4%	10	11.8%	20	0.0%	*	8.9%	30
Ethnic origin	16.0%	26	8.9%	15	0.0%	*	12.0%	41
Veteran status	0.0%	*	1.5%	*	0.0%	*	0.8%	*
Race or color	15.2%	25	14.9%	25	0.0%	*	14.6%	50
Marital status	1.5%	*	3.0%	5	0.0%	*	2.2%	8
Nationality/country of origin	6.4%	10	6.6%	11	0.0%	*	6.3%	21
None/no response	55.0%	89	58.9%	100	25.0%	*	56.0%	191

 None/no response

 Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

 Statistical significance not tested.

 * Values reported for items with n >= 3.

	Ma	Man		an	T/NB/	/NC	Over	all
Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	12.7%	20	12.7%	22	0.0%	*	12.3%	42
Physical appearance	6.5%	10	7.9%	13	50.0%	5	8.5%	29
Physical disability	6.7%	11	4.3%	7	0.0%	*	5.3%	18
Mental disability	1.5%	*	3.0%	5	25.0%	*	2.9%	10
Employment classification	13.9%	22	25.5%	43	50.0%	5	20.7%	71
Gender identity	6.6%	11	23.5%	40	50.0%	5	16.3%	56
Job title	10.9%	18	20.2%	34	25.0%	*	15.9%	54
Parental status	7.3%	12	14.4%	24	0.0%	*	10.6%	36
Religion	7.8%	13	4.5%	8	0.0%	*	5.9%	20
Political affiliation	20.3%	33	21.5%	37	50.0%	5	21.8%	74
Sexual orientation	5.1%	8	6.9%	12	50.0%	5	7.4%	25
Socio-economic status	10.8%	17	11.5%	20	25.0%	*	11.6%	40
Ethnic origin	11.0%	18	8.7%	15	25.0%	*	10.3%	35
Veteran status	0.0%	*	1.5%	*	25.0%	*	1.5%	5
Race or color	16.9%	27	11.1%	19	25.0%	*	14.2%	49
Marital status	4.4%	7	7.8%	13	0.0%	*	5.9%	20
Nationality/country of origin	6.6%	11	6.6%	11	25.0%	*	7.1%	24
None/no response	59.4%	96	56.7%	97	25.0%	*	57.1%	195

Table 49: Discriminatory Attitudes: Department/Unit

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

Table 50: Other Potential Problems: CSU Overall

Man		Woman		T/NB/NC		Overall	
Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
9.3%	15	12.2%	21	25.0%	*	11.2%	38
12.7%	21	10.2%	17	25.0%	*	11.8%	40
23.3%	38	22.5%	38	25.0%	*	22.9%	78
0.0%	*	2.3%	4	0.0%	*	1.2%	4
1.4%	*	6.1%	10	0.0%	*	3.7%	13
8.5%	14	4.2%	7	0.0%	*	6.1%	21
69.6%	112	75.5%	129	75.0%	8	72.7%	249
	Pct 9.3% 12.7% 23.3% 0.0% 1.4% 8.5%	Pct Pop 9.3% 15 12.7% 21 23.3% 38 0.0% * 1.4% * 8.5% 14	Pct Pop Pct 9.3% 15 12.2% 12.7% 21 10.2% 23.3% 38 22.5% 0.0% * 2.3% 1.4% * 6.1% 8.5% 14 4.2%	Pct Pop Pct Pop 9.3% 15 12.2% 21 12.7% 21 10.2% 17 23.3% 38 22.5% 38 0.0% * 2.3% 4 1.4% * 6.1% 10 8.5% 14 4.2% 7	Pct Pop Pct Pop Pct 9.3% 15 12.2% 21 25.0% 12.7% 21 10.2% 17 25.0% 23.3% 38 22.5% 38 25.0% 0.0% * 2.3% 4 0.0% 1.4% * 6.1% 10 0.0% 8.5% 14 4.2% 7 0.0%	Pct Pop Pct Pop Pct Pop 9.3% 15 12.2% 21 25.0% * 12.7% 21 10.2% 17 25.0% * 23.3% 38 22.5% 38 25.0% * 0.0% * 2.3% 4 0.0% * 1.4% * 6.1% 10 0.0% * 8.5% 14 4.2% 7 0.0% *	Pct Pop Pct Pop Pct Pop 9.3% 15 12.2% 21 25.0% * 11.2% 12.7% 21 10.2% 17 25.0% * 11.8% 23.3% 38 22.5% 38 25.0% * 22.9% 0.0% * 2.3% 4 0.0% * 1.2% 1.4% * 6.1% 10 0.0% * 3.7% 8.5% 14 4.2% 7 0.0% * 6.1%

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 51: Other Potential Problems: Division/College

	Man		Woman		T/NB/NC		Over	all
Please indicate if any of the following are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	5.1%	8	10.7%	18	0.0%	*	7.8%	27
Bullying	5.1%	8	16.4%	28	25.0%	*	11.3%	39
Bias	28.6%	46	25.0%	43	25.0%	*	26.7%	91
Physical assault	0.0%	*	1.5%	*	0.0%	*	0.8%	*
Sexual misconduct	0.0%	*	3.8%	6	0.0%	*	1.9%	6
Verbal abuse	6.5%	11	8.1%	14	0.0%	*	7.1%	24
None/no response	70.0%	113	69.2%	118	75.0%	8	69.7%	238

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 52: Other Potential Problems: Department/Unit

	Man		Woman		T/NB/NC		Over	all
Please indicate if any of the following are currently problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	8.0%	13	8.5%	14	0.0%	*	8.0%	27
Bullying	13.7%	22	14.7%	25	50.0%	5	15.3%	52
Bias	25.6%	41	27.1%	46	25.0%	*	26.3%	90
Physical assault	0.0%	*	1.5%	*	0.0%	*	0.8%	*
Sexual misconduct	3.0%	5	2.3%	4	0.0%	*	2.5%	9
Verbal abuse	13.6%	22	5.0%	9	25.0%	*	9.7%	33
None/no response	68.8%	111	67.9%	116	50.0%	5	67.8%	232

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

Comparisons by Racially Minoritized Status

Racially minoritized status is based on responses to the multiple response race and ethnicity survey question (Table 22). If a respondent selected only one race and that one race was Asian, Black, Hispanic or Latinx, Native American or Alaska Native or First Nations, or Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, or they selected 'The race/ethnicity I most closely align with is not listed,' they are coded as racially minoritized. If a respondent selected more than one race in any combination, they are coded as racially minoritized. Racially minoritized status could not be determined for respondents who skipped the question or selected 'Prefer not to disclose;' these respondents are excluded from these analyses.

Table 53: Work Culture

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your agreement with the following statements about	Racia minorit (A)	ized	Non-racially minoritized (B)		Over	all
work culture.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
WORK CULTURE OVERALL	32.7%	39	60.7% A	294	57.5%	333
My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included	41.6%	39	65.3% A	303	62.6%	342
My department/unit treats all employees equitably	23.3%	39	58.8% A	303	54.8%	342
My department/unit is open and transparent in communication	12.3%	39	63.8% A	303	58.0%	342
My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions	47.5%	39	57.1%	301	56.0%	339
My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences	26.8%	39	71.5% A	302	66.4%	340
My department/unit understands the value of diversity	51.0%	39	70.5% A	303	68.3%	342
My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity	46.7%	39	67.9% A	303	65.5%	342
I feel valued as an employee	48.2%	39	54.4%	301	53.7%	339
I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU	23.3%	39	44.7% A	303	42.3%	342
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college	23.3%	39	46.3% A	303	43.7%	342
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit	23.3%	39	53.6% A	301	50.2%	339
I would recommend CSU as a place of employment	34.2%	39	61.7% A	303	58.6%	342
I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment	23.3%	39	63.5% A	301	59.0%	339

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Did you have a performance review in the last	Racially mino (A)	oritized	Non-racially mir (B)	Overa	all	
year?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Yes, I had a review	78.7%	30	78.7%	239	78.7%	269
No, I did not have a review	21.3%	8	21.3%	65	21.3%	73
Total	100.0%	39	100.0%	303	100.0%	342

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 55: Performance Review

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following	Racially minoritized (A)		Non-rac minoriti (B)	Over	all	
statements about your most recent performance review.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
PERFORMANCE REVIEW OVERALL	71.9%	30	80.2%	239	79.2%	269
I am satisfied with the effort my supervisor put into my most recent performance review	88.0%	30	72.6%	239	74.3%	269
I fear negative job consequences if I am to raise an issue of unfair treatment during my review*	27.9%	30	18.6%	239	19.7%	269
I am aware of the process to mediate disagreements with my supervisor regarding my evaluation.	27.6%	30	67.9% A	239	63.3%	269

Asked only of those respondents who had a performance review in the last year.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.

*Reverse coded when included in overall rating 1,2,3

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 56: Respect

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months,	Racially minoritized		Non-rac minorit		Over	all
please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements	(A)		(B)	(B)		
about respect.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
RESPECT OVERALL	60.1%	39	58.4%	299	58.6%	338
My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division	47.1%	39	60.0%	303	58.5%	342
My college/division is treated with respect by CSU	51.0%	39	53.8%	301	53.5%	339
The people I interact with treat each other with respect	62.5%	39	70.3%	303	69.4%	342
There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit	54.3%	39	52.6%	302	52.8%	340
There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit	56.7%	39	66.0%	302	64.9%	340
There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit	89.0% B	39	44.6%	302	49.7%	340

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 57: Favoritism

During the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about favoritism. Favoritism plays a role		Racially minoritized (A)		Non-racially minoritized (B)		rall
in who gets:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
FAVORITISM OVERALL	52.4% B	39	26.7%	302	29.6%	340
Recognized within my department/unit	57.8% B	39	35.3%	302	37.8%	340
Resources in my department/unit	53.5% B	39	33.7%	302	35.9%	340
Professional development opportunities	56.0% B	39	14.1%	302	18.8%	340
Promoted in my department/unit	41.0% B	39	22.9%	302	25.0%	340
Hired in my department/unit	53.5% B	39	27.5%	302	30.4%	340

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 58: Leadership Accountability: College/Division Leadership

	Racially minoritized				Non-rac minoriti		Over	all
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	(A)		(B)					
agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
COLLEGE/DIVISION LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	17.9%	39	42.9% A	294	40.0%	332		
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	24.2%	39	47.2% A	296	44.5%	335		
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	23.1%	39	41.2% A	296	39.1%	335		
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	6.4%	39	29.0% A	299	26.4%	338		
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	29.1%	39	64.8% A	299	60.7%	338		
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	12.3%	39	40.8% A	296	37.5%	335		
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	12.3%	39	37.0% A	299	34.2%	338		

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 59: Leadership Accountability: Department/Unit Leadership

	Racially minoritized		Non-rac minoriti		Over	all
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	(A) (B)					
agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	25.8%	39	49.4% A	294	46.7%	333
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	29.1%	39	52.9% A	296	50.2%	335
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	23.1%	39	50.0% A	296	46.9%	335
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	6.4%	39	32.2% A	299	29.3%	338
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	29.1%	39	64.8% A	299	60.7%	338
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	36.5%	39	52.9%	296	51.0%	335
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	12.3%	39	40.9% A	299	37.6%	338

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 60: Climate: CSU Overall

		Racially Non-racially minoritized minoritized		Overall		
Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months,	(A)	(A) (B)				
please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU CLIMATE OVERALL	53.0%	39	59.4%	297	58.7%	336
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	50.4%	39	70.2% A	303	67.9%	342
Improves the campus climate for all employees	59.6%	39	54.4%	302	55.0%	340
Retains diverse employees	29.1%	39	45.9% A	299	44.0%	338
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	49.5%	39	56.7%	299	55.8%	338
Encourages discussions related to diversity	89.9%	39	76.6%	299	78.1%	338
Provides employees with a positive work experience	45.7%	39	63.4% A	299	61.4%	338
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	46.9%	39	50.7%	299	50.3%	338

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 61: Climate: Department/Unit

Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months,	Racially Non-racially minoritized minoritized (A) (B)		Over	rall		
please indicate your level of agreement regarding the climate.	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT CLIMATE OVERALL	40.8%	37	59.6% A		57.5%	
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	60.4%	39	65.0%	303	64.5%	342
Improves the campus climate for all employees	23.3%	39	61.4% A	302	57.1%	340
Retains diverse employees	34.0%	39	46.8%	299	45.3%	338
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	49.5%	39	56.7%	299	55.8%	338
Encourages discussions related to diversity	67.8%	39	71.2%	299	70.8%	338
Provides employees with a positive work experience	29.9%	39	67.2% A	294	62.9%	333
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	30.3%	37	52.7% A	299	50.3%	336

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 62: Communications: CSU Overall

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please		Racially minoritized		Non-racially minoritized		rall
indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about	(A)		(B)			
communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
CSU COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	55.2%	37	51.0%	290	51.5%	327
Communications are effective	50.2%	39	46.5%	294	47.0%	333
Communications are timely	35.9%	39	63.6% A	293	60.3%	332
Communications are relevant	60.3%	39	46.8%	297	48.4%	336
Communications are informative	62.5%	39	52.7%	297	53.9%	336
Communications are motivating	42.9%	37	29.2%	297	30.7%	335
Communications are honest	38.5%	39	47.3%	297	46.3%	336
Communications are accessible	91.4% B	39	71.6%	297	73.9%	336

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 63: Communications: Division/College

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about		illy ized	Non-rac minorit (B)	Overall		
communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
COLLEGE/DIVISION COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	42.0%	37	57.4% A	283	55.6%	320
Communications are effective	40.8%	39	56.9%	294	55.0%	333
Communications are timely	29.9%	39	66.9% A	293	62.6%	332
Communications are relevant	48.0%	39	57.9%	293	56.8%	332
Communications are informative	50.2%	39	61.3%	297	60.0%	336
Communications are motivating	18.9%	37	36.4% A	295	34.5%	332
Communications are honest	18.2%	39	58.8% A	297	54.1%	336
Communications are accessible	85.5%	39	70.7%	297	72.4%	336

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 64: Communications: Department/Unit

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please							Over	all
indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about	(A)		(B)					
communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop		
DEPARTMENT/UNIT COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	43.8%	39	63.4% A	286	61.0%	324		
Communications are effective	48.2%	39	68.0% A	291	65.6%	330		
Communications are timely	51.7%	39	64.9%	293	63.4%	332		
Communications are relevant	48.0%	39	65.7% A	297	63.7%	336		
Communications are informative	46.7%	39	67.6% A	297	65.2%	336		
Communications are motivating	18.2%	39	43.1% A	297	40.2%	336		
Communications are honest	18.2%	39	65.1% A	296	59.7%	335		
Communications are accessible	75.6%	39	72.5%	297	72.8%	336		

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

During the past 12 months, have you had the opportunity to	Racially minoritized (A)		Non-racia minoritiz (B)		Over	all
communicate feedback to CSU?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Yes, I have the opportunity to provide feedback	33.4%	13	43.2%	130	42.1%	143
Maybe, I can provide feedback in limited situations	54.3%	21	41.7%	126	43.2%	146
No, I don't have an opportunity to provide feedback	12.3%	5	15.0%	45	14.7%	50
Total	100.0%	39	100.0%	301	100.0%	339

 Total
 100.0%
 39
 100.0%
 301
 100.0%

 Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the

category with the larger column proportion.

Table CC. Deservation and the Fredhead

Table 65: Communicated Foodback

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{a,b}

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Racially Non-rac minoritized minorit			Over	all
When I use the following channels, leadership is	(A)		(B)	(B)		
responsive to my feedback:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
RESPONSIVENESS TO FEEDBACK OVERALL	42.8%	34	49.9%	243	49.0%	277
One on one conversations with my supervisor	65.4%	34	75.3%	250	74.2%	284
My representation in shared governance (CPC, APC, or Faculty Council)	34.3%	34	24.8%	247	25.9%	281
My service on committees	51.4%	34	52.5%	247	52.4%	281
Annual review process	36.6%	34	56.4% A	246	54.0%	280
Input collection through anonymous surveys	26.4%	34	38.4%	245	36.9%	279

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback. Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 67: Feedback Valued

	Racially minoritized (A)		Non-racially minoritized (B)		Overa	all
When I give feedback it is valued by:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
FEEDBACK VALUED OVERALL	34.3%	34	43.5%	246	42.4%	280
CSU overall	33.9%	34	26.4%	247	27.3%	281
My division/college	21.5%	34	40.8% A	246	38.4%	280
My department/unit	47.4%	34	62.8%	251	61.0%	285

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Racially minoritized		Non-raci minoriti		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	9.4%	4	8.3%	25	8.4%	29
Physical appearance	21.7%	8	5.1%	15	6.9%	24
Physical disability	21.7%	8	9.1%	28	10.6%	36
Mental disability	6.6%	*	5.6%	17	5.7%	20
Employment classification	25.2%	10	21.4%	65	21.9%	75
Gender identity	21.7%	8	6.4%	19	8.1%	28
Job title	7.0%	*	16.9%	51	15.8%	54
Parental status	21.7%	8	5.0%	15	6.9%	24
Religion	0.0%	*	14.8%	45	13.1%	45
Political affiliation	18.2%	7	27.7%	84	26.7%	91
Sexual orientation	21.7%	8	2.9%	9	5.1%	17
Socio-economic status	18.2%	7	7.6%	23	8.8%	30
Ethnic origin	21.7%	8	4.9%	15	6.8%	23
Veteran status	0.0%	*	1.6%	5	1.5%	5
Race or color	25.2%	10	16.0%	48	17.0%	58
Marital status	0.0%	*	2.5%	8	2.2%	8
Nationality/country of origin	10.5%	4	6.1%	18	6.6%	22
None/no response	58.8%	23	49.4%	150	50.4%	172

Table 68: Discriminatory Attitudes: CSU Overall

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Table 69: Discriminatory Attitudes: Division/College

Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Racial minoriti		Non-raci minoriti		Over	rall
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	6.4%	*	10.6%	32	10.1%	35
Physical appearance	12.3%	5	9.3%	28	9.6%	33
Physical disability	3.5%	*	8.4%	26	7.9%	27
Mental disability	24.8%	10	4.5%	14	6.8%	23
Employment classification	19.7%	8	18.0%	55	18.2%	62
Gender identity	38.9%	15	12.8%	39	15.8%	54
Job title	13.3%	5	17.7%	54	17.2%	59
Parental status	21.7%	8	4.2%	13	6.2%	21
Religion	6.4%	*	7.0%	21	6.9%	24
Political affiliation	28.1%	11	26.9%	82	27.1%	93
Sexual orientation	38.9%	15	6.3%	19	9.9%	34
Socio-economic status	6.4%	*	10.1%	31	9.6%	33
Ethnic origin	38.9%	15	9.4%	29	12.8%	44
Veteran status	0.0%	*	0.8%	*	0.8%	*
Race or color	34.4%	13	12.1%	37	14.6%	50
Marital status	0.0%	*	2.5%	8	2.2%	8
Nationality/country of origin	13.3%	5	6.2%	19	7.0%	24
None/no response	38.7%	15	55.7%	169	53.8%	184

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

Table 70: Discriminatory Attitudes: Department/Unit

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently		-			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Over	rall
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
Age	6.4%	*	12.2%	37	11.5%	39		
Physical appearance	24.8%	10	7.2%	22	9.2%	32		
Physical disability	3.5%	*	5.6%	17	5.3%	18		
Mental disability	6.6%	*	3.3%	10	3.7%	13		
Employment classification	19.7%	8	22.6%	68	22.2%	76		
Gender identity	22.8%	9	15.5%	47	16.3%	56		
Job title	19.3%	7	15.5%	47	15.9%	54		
Parental status	28.1%	11	7.5%	23	9.9%	34		
Religion	12.3%	5	5.9%	18	6.6%	23		
Political affiliation	47.0%	18	20.3%	61	23.3%	80		
Sexual orientation	16.4%	6	7.0%	21	8.1%	28		
Socio-economic status	13.0%	5	11.4%	35	11.6%	40		
Ethnic origin	41.0%	16	7.2%	22	11.0%	38		
Veteran status	6.6%	*	0.8%	*	1.5%	5		
Race or color	47.0%	18	10.9%	33	15.0%	51		
Marital status	5.9%	*	5.9%	18	5.9%	20		
Nationality/country of origin	25.9%	10	5.6%	17	7.9%	27		
None/no response	43.6%	17	56.2%	171	54.8%	187		

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 71: Other Potential Problems: CSU Overall

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Racial minoriti		Non-racia minoritiz	Over	all	
problematic.	Pct	Pct Pop		Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	17.4%	7	11.2%	34	11.9%	41
Bullying	18.2%	7	10.2%	31	11.1%	38
Bias	40.0%	15	20.8%	63	22.9%	78
Physical assault	0.0%	*	1.3%	4	1.2%	4
Sexual misconduct	9.4%	4	3.8%	12	4.5%	15
Verbal abuse	24.2%	9	4.7%	14	6.9%	23
None/no response	49.0%	19	75.8%	230	72.7%	249
To take a second dool of a second						

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 72: Other Potential Problems: Division/College

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Racial minoriti		Non-raci minoritiz	Over		
problematic.	Pct Pop		Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	5.1%	*	9.0%	27	8.5%	29
Bullying	9.4%	4	10.7%	32	10.6%	36
Bias	47.9%	19	23.1%	70	25.9%	89
Physical assault	0.0%	*	0.8%	*	0.8%	*
Sexual misconduct	3.5%	*	2.5%	8	2.6%	9
Verbal abuse	18.2%	7	6.5%	20	7.9%	27
None/no response	43.5%	17	73.9%	224	70.5%	241

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 73: Other Potential Problems: Department/Unit

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Racial minoriti		Non-raci minoritiz	Overall		
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Sexual harassment	14.5%	6	8.1%	24	8.8%	30
Bullying	22.4%	9	14.4%	44	15.3%	52
Bias	59.9%	23	22.9%	69	27.1%	93
Physical assault	0.0%	*	0.8%	*	0.8%	*
Sexual misconduct	3.5%	*	3.3%	10	3.3%	11
Verbal abuse	27.7%	11	8.2%	25	10.4%	36
None/no response	29.1%	11	72.7%	221	67.8%	232

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

Comparisons by Employee Type

Employment type is based on the employee's current classification within the Human Resources system. State Classified (SC) employees are those with positions within the State Personnel System under Colorado statutes. Administrative professional (Admin Pro) employees hold positions exempt from the State Personnel System under Colorado statutes and are not faculty positions. Research Associates and Research Scientists are considered Admin Pro. Faculty includes all personnel who carry academic rank (professor, associate professor, assistant professor, master instructor, senior instructor, instructor, and faculty affiliate) and the University President. Faculty have been coded into two groups. Tenure and Tenure Track (T/TT) faculty include tenured faculty, tenure-track faculty, and faculty with transitional appointments. Contract, Continuing, and Adjunct (CCA) faculty include contract faculty, continuing faculty, and adjunct faculty as well as faculty with temporary, special, and/or senior teaching appointments. As employment type was included as part of the survey sample meta data (and not asked on the survey), employment type could not be determined for employees who completed a hard copy survey. These employees as well as other salaried employees are excluded from these analyses.

Table 74: Work Culture

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your agreement with the	Admin (A		T/TT (B		CCA (C)		SC (D)		Over	all
following statements about work culture.	•	1			Pct				Pct	Рор
WORK CULTURE OVERALL	59.0%		57.3%		61.6%		67.2%	-	59.4%	
My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included	62.3%	195	59.2%	111	60.5%	54	71.9%	22	61.7%	382
My department/unit treats all employees equitably	58.7%	195	51.1%	111	49.3%	54	59.2%	22	55.2%	382
My department/unit is open and transparent in communication	61.8%	192	53.9%	111	58.0%	54	76.4%	22	59.8%	380
My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions	50.8%	189	62.6%	111	70.1%	54	58.6%	22	57.5%	377
My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences	73.4%	192	69.1%	110	69.0%	54	60.5%	22	70.7%	378
My department/unit understands the value of diversity	68.4%	192	73.9%	111	69.0%	54	65.0%	22	69.9%	380
My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity	68.3%	192	73.0%	111	66.3%	54	65.0%	22	69.2%	380
I feel valued as an employee	53.1%	189	55.0%	111	58.7%	54	65.5%	22	55.2%	377
I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU	38.9%	192	37.2%	111	69.0% A B	54	65.5%	22	44.3%	380
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college	38.5%	192	43.7%	111	61.6% A	54	65.5%	22	44.9%	380
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit	48.5%	189	49.3%	111	57.8%	54	82.8% A B	22	52.1%	377
I would recommend CSU as a place of employment	69.3% B	192	51.9%	111	57.8%	54	65.5%	22	62.4%	380
I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment	62.6%	189	56.1%	111	54.0%	54	71.9%	22	60.0%	377

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 75: Performance Review in Last Year

	Admin	Pro	T/TT Fac		T/TT Fac CCA F			CCA Fac		SC		all
Did you have a performance review in	(A)		(B) (C)		(C) (D)							
the last year?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
Yes, I had a review	68.9%	132	96.4% A C		80.3%	44	100.0% ¹	22	80.3%	303		
No, I did not have a review	31.1% B	60	3.6%	4	19.7% B	11	0.0% ¹	*	19.7%	74		
Total	100.0%	192	100.0%	108	100.0%	54	100.0%	22	100.0%	377		

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): $.05^*$ Values reported for items with $n \ge 3.^{2,3}$

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 76: Performance Review

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about your most recent	Admin Pro (A)				CCA Fac (C)		SC (D)		Over	rall
performance review.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
PERFORMANCE REVIEW OVERALL	78.0%	132	80.4%	105	78.6%	44	86.4%	22	79.5%	303
I am satisfied with the effort my supervisor put into my most recent performance review	74.0%	132	75.9%	105	69.7%	44	93.6%	22	75.5%	303
I fear negative job consequences if I am to raise an issue of unfair treatment during my review*	14.3%	132	27.4%	105	21.1%	44	28.1%	22	20.8%	303
I am aware of the process to mediate disagreements with my supervisor regarding my evaluation.	59.8%	132	65.2%	105	66.1%	44	65.5%	22	63.0%	303

Asked only of those respondents who had a performance review in the last year.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.

*Reverse coded when included in overall rating a,b,c

a. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 77: Respect

Thinking about your work environment during the past 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement		Admin Pro (A)				T/TT Fac (B)		Fac)	ac SC (D)		Over	all
with the following statements about respect.	Pct	Рор	Pct					Рор	Pct	Pop		
RESPECT OVERALL	57.1%	189	57.0%	108	56.3%	54	68.9%	21	57.6%	373		
My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division	56.7%	192	55.5%	108	62.5%	54	70.0%	22	58.0%	377		
My college/division is treated with respect by CSU	47.8%	189	50.9%	108	66.3%	54	59.2%	22	52.1%	375		
The people I interact with treat each other with respect	67.9%	192	63.6%	108	65.2%	54	100.0% A B C	22	68.2%	377		
There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit	50.8%	192	58.5%	108	48.6%	54	56.4%	21	53.0%	376		
There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit	67.9% C	192	68.3%	108	47.7%	54	62.6%	21	64.8%	376		
There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit	47.5%	192	45.4%	108	47.5%	54	56.4%	21	47.4%	376		

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 78: Favoritism

During the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	Admir	n Pro	T/TT	Fac	CCA	Fac	SC		Over	all
agreement with the following statements about	(A) ((B)		(C))	(D)		
favoritism. Favoritism plays a role in who gets:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
FAVORITISM OVERALL	25.4%	189	37.8%	108	23.7%	54	17.9%	21	28.3%	373
			A							
Recognized within my department/unit	34.1%	189	47.3%	108	34.8%	54	35.4%	21	38.1%	373
Resources in my department/unit	28.8%	189	49.6%	108	27.2%	54	35.4%	21	35.0%	373
			AC							
Professional development opportunities	15.8%	189	25.0%	108	3.8%	54	6.8%	21	16.2%	373
			С							
Promoted in my department/unit	26.1%	189	25.0%	108	17.0%	54	0.0%	21	23.0%	373
	D									
Hired in my department/unit	22.1%	189	42.2%	108	35.7%	54	12.2%	21	29.3%	373
			A D							

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the

category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate	Admin	Admin Pro		T/TT Fac		Fac	SC		Over	all		
your level of agreement about leadership	(A	(A)		(A) (E		(B))	(D)			
accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
COLLEGE/DIVISION LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	39.9%	184	39.3%	108	47.2%	54	72.5% A B C	22	42.8%	369		
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	41.0%	187	47.3%	108	58.9%	54	80.9% A B	22	47.8%	372		
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	37.2%	187	38.4%	108	55.1%	54	80.9% A B	22	42.8%	372		
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	30.8%	189	21.8%	108	26.1%	54	59.2% A B C	22	29.2%	375		
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	62.1%	189	58.2%	108	71.2%	54	82.8%	22	63.5%	375		
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	37.3%	187	36.8%	108	32.8%	54	71.9% A B C	22	38.6%	372		
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	35.8%	189	33.1%	108	39.2%	54	59.2%	22	36.9%	375		

Table 70. Loadership Assessmetability: Callege/Division Loadership

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate		Admin Pro T/TT Fac		Fac	CCA Fac		SC		Over	rall
your level of agreement about leadership	(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)			
accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
DEPARTMENT/UNIT LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	47.9%	187	46.5%	108	49.9%	54	77.1% A B C	20	49.3%	370
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	52.4%	187	47.7%	108	57.8%	54	82.8% A B	22	53.6%	372
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	51.0%	187	38.7%	108	61.6% B	54	76.4% B	22	50.5%	372
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	27.1%	189	32.9%	108	39.0%	54	71.9% A B C	22	33.2%	375
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	62.1%	189	58.2%	108	71.2%	54	82.8%	22	63.5%	375
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	49.9%	189	56.5%	108	39.2%	54	73.5%	20	51.5%	372
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	40.8%	189	38.8%	108	31.7%	54	59.2%	22	40.0%	375

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 81: Climate: CSU Overall

Thinking about your work environment during the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement			T/TT Fac (B)		CCA Fac (C)		nc SC (D)		Over	all
regarding the climate.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU CLIMATE OVERALL	60.9%	189	60.1%	108	55.4%	54	70.7%	21	60.4%	373
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	74.8% C	189	69.4%	108	56.0%	54	64.4%	22	69.9%	375
Improves the campus climate for all employees	58.5%	189	50.6%	108	44.7%	54	63.2%	21	54.4%	373
Retains diverse employees	49.8%	189	44.0%	108	40.1%	54	45.3%	22	46.5%	375
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	54.8%	189	55.2%	108	58.7%	54	93.6% A B C		57.8%	375
Encourages discussions related to diversity	72.8%	189	83.0%	108	78.6%	54	89.1%	22	77.6%	375
Provides employees with a positive work experience	65.7%	189	56.4%	108	60.8%	54	78.3%	22	63.0%	375
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	49.8%	189	62.2%	108	48.6%	54	65.5%	22	54.1%	375

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 82: Climate: Department/Unit

Thinking about your work environment during the last		Admin Pro		T/TT Fac		CCA Fac		SC		rall
12 months, please indicate your level of agreement		(A)		(B)		(C))		
regarding the climate.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT CLIMATE OVERALL	60.7%	189	56.8%	102	55.2%	54	61.0%	21	58.8%	367
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	68.9%	189	58.8%	108	62.5%	54	65.0%	22	64.8%	375
Improves the campus climate for all employees	65.3% C	189	51.6%	108	43.8%	54	70.0%	21	58.4%	373
Retains diverse employees	53.9% C	189	40.9%	108	31.7%	54	56.7%	22	47.1%	375
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	54.8%	189	55.2%	108	58.7%	54	93.6% A B C		57.8%	375
Encourages discussions related to diversity	63.5%	189	80.3% A	108	73.9%	54	71.4%	22	70.3%	375
Provides employees with a positive work experience	66.3%	189	60.2%	104	62.5%	54	60.5%	22	63.7%	370
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	47.2%	189	56.8%	107	61.6%	54	47.8%	22	52.1%	373

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 83: Communications: CSU Overall

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with		Admin Pro (A)		T/TT Fac (B)		Fac)	SC (D)		Over	all
the following statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	51.4%	180	50.0%	99	36.7%	51	74.8% A B C	21	50.3%	351
Communications are effective	44.1%	184	45.7%	103	48.0%	51	68.0%	21	46.5%	359
Communications are timely	60.0%	180	62.2%	103	44.9%	54	93.2% A B C	21	60.3%	359
Communications are relevant	46.0%	184	41.7%	101	34.8%	54	74.8% B C	21	44.8%	360
Communications are informative	52.9%	184	57.2%	103	46.7%	54	68.0%	21	54.1%	363
Communications are motivating	33.3%	184	24.0%	102	18.7%	54	56.4% B C	21	29.8%	362
Communications are honest	48.8%	184	41.2%	103	29.0%	54	74.8% B C	21	45.2%	363
Communications are accessible	72.1%	184	78.6%	103	53.3%	54	88.4%	21	72.1%	363
	C		С				С			

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 84: Communications: Division/College

Thinking about work communications over the last 12			Admin Pro T/TT Fac		CCA Fac		SC		Overa	
months, please indicate your level of agreement with	(A)		(B)		(C)		(D)			
the following statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
COLLEGE/DIVISION COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	52.3%	173	55.1%	102	57.9%	51	74.4%	21	55.3%	347
Communications are effective	54.0%	184	54.1%	103	59.9%	51	62.6%	21	55.3%	359
Communications are timely	59.0%	180	62.3%	103	71.0%	54	81.0%	21	63.1%	359
Communications are relevant	51.4%	180	47.0%	103	67.4%	54	81.6%	21	54.3%	359
							A B			
Communications are informative	55.7%	184	63.6%	103	66.3%	54	74.8%	21	60.7%	363
Communications are motivating	29.3%	182	32.3%	102	43.9%	54	57.8%	21	34.0%	359
Communications are honest	51.3%	184	51.9%	103	58.0%	54	74.8%	21	53.8%	363
Communications are accessible	73.5%	184	72.7%	103	55.3%	54	88.4%	21	71.4%	363
							С			

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 85: Communications: Department/Unit

Thinking about work communications over the last 12	Admin Pro (A)		T/TT Fac (B)		CCA Fac (C)		SC (D)		Over	all
months, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about communications.			•		Pct				Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL				-	57.3%		75.3%	21	60.6%	351
Communications are effective	65.7%	182	65.1%	103	55.9%	51	74.8%	21	64.7%	357
Communications are timely	57.6%	180	67.4%	103	62.5%	54	81.6%	21	62.6%	359
Communications are relevant	58.7%	184	61.6%	103	67.4%	54	81.6%	21	62.1%	363
Communications are informative	66.2%	184	67.4%	103	66.3%	54	81.6%	21	67.4%	363
Communications are motivating	37.8%	184	41.3%	103	36.4%	54	51.0%	21	39.3%	363
Communications are honest	59.2%	184	55.9%	102	62.7%	54	74.8%	21	59.7%	362
Communications are accessible	71.7%	184	72.8%	103	66.5%	54	81.6%	21	71.8%	363

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{a,b,c}

a. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 86: Communicated Feedback Admin Pro T/TT Fac **CCA Fac** SC Overall (D) (A) (B) (C) During the past 12 months, have you had the opportunity to communicate feedback to CSU? Pop Pct Pop Pct Pop Pct Pct Pop Pct Pop Yes, I have the opportunity to provide feedback 33.0% 50.4% 51 49.3% 27 65.0% 15 42.3% 153 61 A A Maybe, I can provide feedback in limited situations 44.2% 82 35.3% 42.2% 23 40.8% 148 36 35.0% 8 No, I don't have an opportunity to provide feedback 22.7% 16.8% 42 14.3% 14 8.5% 5 61 0.0%¹ Total 100.0% 184 100.0% 101 100.0% 54 100.0% 22 100.0% 362

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{*} Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.^{2,3}

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 87: Responsiveness to Feedback

	Admin	Admin Pro		Fac	CCA I	Fac	SC	;	Over	all
When I use the following channels, leadership is	(A))	(B)		(C)		(D))		
responsive to my feedback:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
RESPONSIVENESS TO FEEDBACK OVERALL	42.8%	140	61.4%	84	47.9%	47	51.0%	22	49.6%	293
			A							
One on one conversations with my supervisor	73.5%	142	76.6%	85	71.2%	50	82.8%	22	74.7%	300
My representation in shared governance (CPC,	17.5%	142	41.8%	85	24.7%	47	6.4%	22	24.8%	297
APC, or Faculty Council)			A D							
My service on committees	39.2%	140	74.9%	85	59.2%	50	30.5%	22	52.1%	297
			A D							
Annual review process	43.7%	140	67.0%	87	58.1%	47	89.1%	22	56.2%	296
			A				Α			
Input collection through anonymous surveys	40.5%	140	40.4%	85	24.7%	47	46.4%	22	38.4%	295

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback. Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Table 88: Feedback Valued

When I give feedback it is valued	Admin (A)				CCA F (C)		SC (D)		Overa	all
by:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
FEEDBACK VALUED OVERALL	38.8%	140	44.8%	84	41.1%	50	59.4%	22	42.5%	296
CSU overall	29.3%	140	24.7%	85	11.2%	50	41.4%	22	25.9%	297
							С			
My division/college	33.1%	140	44.3%	84	36.8%	50	71.4%	22	39.8%	296
							AC			
My department/unit	54.8%	142	62.8%	87	75.4%	50	65.5%	22	61.3%	301

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Admin Pro		Pro T/TT Fac		CCA Fac		SC		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	4.9%	9	11.0%	12	12.9%	7	0.0%	*	7.5%	29
Physical appearance	3.6%	7	4.6%	5	8.5%	5	0.0%	*	4.4%	17
Physical disability	8.4%	16	5.9%	7	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	7.6%	29
Mental disability	6.2%	12	4.5%	5	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	5.1%	20
Employment classification	22.5%	44	11.4%	13	25.2%	14	0.0%	*	18.4%	70
Gender identity	6.2%	12	5.9%	7	8.5%	5	0.0%	*	6.1%	23
Job title	16.0%	31	10.5%	12	25.2%	14	0.0%	*	14.8%	56
Parental status	6.2%	12	6.8%	8	3.8%	*	0.0%	*	5.7%	22
Religion	12.4%	24	14.6%	16	17.6%	10	0.0%	*	13.1%	50
Political affiliation	26.1%	51	19.7%	22	35.5%	19	10.9%	*	24.7%	94
Sexual orientation	1.3%	*	4.6%	5	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	2.7%	10
Socio-economic status	8.8%	17	3.4%	4	8.5%	5	0.0%	*	6.7%	26
Ethnic origin	1.3%	*	8.1%	9	8.5%	5	0.0%	*	4.2%	16
Veteran status	1.3%	*	2.2%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.3%	5
Race or color	12.4%	24	17.0%	19	14.9%	8	11.4%	*	14.0%	54
Marital status	2.6%	5	2.2%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	2.0%	8
Nationality/country of origin	2.6%	5	8.3%	9	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	5.9%	22
None/no response	51.8%	101	52.1%	58	47.8%	26	77.7%	17	52.8%	202

 None/no response
 51.8%

 Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

 Statistical significance not tested.

 * Values reported for items with n >= 3.

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Admin	Pro	T/TT Fac		CCA Fac		SC	;	Over	rall
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	8.8%	17	10.3%	11	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	9.0%	35
Physical appearance	8.4%	16	8.0%	9	18.7%	10	0.0%	*	9.3%	35
Physical disability	5.8%	11	6.8%	8	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	7.1%	27
Mental disability	2.6%	5	4.5%	5	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	4.2%	16
Employment classification	20.6%	40	13.1%	15	18.7%	10	0.0%	*	16.9%	65
Gender identity	11.3%	22	11.9%	13	26.1%	14	0.0%	*	12.9%	49
Job title	17.6%	34	7.5%	8	34.6%	19	0.0%	*	16.1%	61
Parental status	6.2%	12	4.6%	5	3.8%	*	0.0%	*	5.0%	19
Religion	7.1%	14	5.7%	6	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	6.8%	26
Political affiliation	26.0%	51	19.0%	21	29.9%	16	0.0%	*	23.0%	88
Sexual orientation	7.4%	14	5.9%	7	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	7.1%	27
Socio-economic status	11.4%	22	4.7%	5	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	9.3%	36
Ethnic origin	10.5%	21	7.2%	8	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	9.6%	37
Veteran status	1.3%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.7%	*
Race or color	10.0%	20	13.6%	15	14.9%	8	11.4%	*	11.9%	45
Marital status	2.6%	5	2.2%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	2.0%	8
Nationality/country of origin	4.8%	9	5.9%	6	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	6.3%	24
None/no response	52.0%	101	60.1%	67	61.6%	33	88.6%	20	57.8%	221

Table 90: Discriminatory Attitudes: Division/College

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Admin			Fac	CCA Fac		SC		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Age	9.2%	18	14.6%	16	10.3%	6	10.9%	*	11.0%	42
Physical appearance	2.6%	5	12.4%	14	23.4%	13	0.0%	*	8.2%	32
Physical disability	2.6%	5	6.8%	8	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	5.4%	21
Mental disability	2.6%	5	4.5%	5	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	3.3%	13
Employment classification	23.2%	45	17.4%	19	31.0%	17	10.9%	*	21.9%	84
Gender identity	13.1%	25	16.3%	18	27.2%	15	0.0%	*	15.3%	58
Job title	15.3%	30	13.9%	15	31.0%	17	0.0%	*	16.2%	62
Parental status	7.8%	15	9.3%	10	11.4%	6	0.0%	*	8.3%	32
Religion	6.1%	12	4.2%	5	11.2%	6	0.0%	*	5.9%	23
Political affiliation	14.0%	27	22.5%	25	37.5%	20	11.4%	*	19.6%	75
Sexual orientation	3.9%	8	10.4%	12	15.8%	9	0.0%	*	7.2%	28
Socio-economic status	8.8%	17	13.5%	15	18.7%	10	0.0%	*	11.0%	42
Ethnic origin	5.2%	10	11.3%	12	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	8.0%	31
Veteran status	1.3%	*	2.3%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.3%	5
Race or color	6.5%	13	21.1%	23	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	11.5%	44
Marital status	4.0%	8	7.6%	8	7.6%	4	0.0%	*	5.3%	20
Nationality/country of origin	2.6%	5	12.4%	14	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	7.0%	27
None/no response	60.5%	118	51.5%	57	54.9%	30	77.7%	17	58.1%	222

Table 91: Discriminatory Attitudes: Department/Unit

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Admir	Admin Pro		Pro T/TT Fac			SC		Overall	
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	11.4%	22	7.6%	8	18.6%	10	0.0%	*	10.7%	41
Bullying	12.3%	24	10.6%	12	8.5%	5	11.4%	*	11.2%	43
Bias	20.6%	40	22.2%	25	21.4%	12	11.4%	*	20.7%	79
Physical assault	1.3%	*	1.3%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.0%	4
Sexual misconduct	4.0%	8	4.5%	5	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	4.0%	15
Verbal abuse	4.9%	9	2.1%	*	8.5%	5	0.0%	*	4.3%	16
None/no response	77.1%	150	70.1%	78	75.0%	41	88.6%	20	75.5%	288

Table 92: Other Potential Problems: CSU Overall

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 93: Other Potential Problems: Division/College

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Admin	Admin Pro		Pro T/TT Fac			CCA	Fac	SC		Overall	
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор		
Sexual harassment	6.6%	13	5.6%	6	18.6%	10	0.0%	*	7.6%	29		
Bullying	10.5%	21	6.7%	7	19.6%	11	11.4%	*	10.8%	41		
Bias	22.4%	44	26.0%	29	26.1%	14	11.4%	*	23.3%	89		
Physical assault	1.3%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.7%	*		
Sexual misconduct	2.6%	5	1.2%	*	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	2.4%	9		
Verbal abuse	5.3%	10	1.3%	*	14.9%	8	0.0%	*	5.2%	20		
None/no response	74.9%	146	69.4%	77	70.3%	38	88.6%	20	73.4%	281		

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 94: Other Potential Problems: Department/Unit

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Admir	Admin Pro		Fac	CCA	Fac	SC		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Sexual harassment	4.0%	8	11.0%	12	18.6%	10	0.0%	*	7.9%	30
Bullying	11.4%	22	18.0%	20	23.4%	13	10.9%	*	15.0%	57
Bias	17.9%	35	35.1%	39	26.3%	14	10.9%	*	23.7%	91
Physical assault	1.3%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.7%	*
Sexual misconduct	1.3%	*	5.5%	6	4.7%	*	0.0%	*	2.9%	11
Verbal abuse	4.9%	9	7.6%	8	19.6%	11	0.0%	*	7.5%	29
None/no response	75.9%	148	59.4%	66	65.3%	36	89.1%	20	70.4%	269

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Comparisons by Department/Unit

Some departments with a small number of employees may have been combined for these comparisons. Groupings appear in the following table.

Table 95: Department Grouping

		Pct
Agri Bio	Agricultural Biology	14.7%
Agri Res Econ	Agricultural and Resource Economics	11.8%
Ani Sci	Animal Sciences	19.9%
Hort Land Arch	Horticulture and Landscape Architecture	8.7%
Ofc Dean	Office of Dean	10.1%
Res Ctr	Agricultural Experiment Station	2.4%
	Agricultural Research Development and Education Center	3.7%
	Plant Growth Facility	0.6%
	San Luis Valley Research Center	6.2%
	Southwestern Colorado Research Center	0.6%
	Western Colorado Research Center	3.7%
Soil Crop Sci	Soil and Crop Sciences	17.6%

Table 96: Work Culture

	Ami	Die	Agri R		A m: 6	Pai	Hort L		060 0		Der	C4=	Soil C	0	Overall	
Thinking about your work environment during the past 12	Agri		Eco	n	Ani S		Arc		Ofc D		Res		Sc		Ove	rall
months, please indicate your agreement with the following	(A)		(B) Det	Dan	(C)		(D		(E		(F		(G		Det	Der
statements about work culture.		Pop		Pop		Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct		1		Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop
WORK CULTURE OVERALL	61.1% C	59	81.0% A C F	47	31.0%	75	64.0% C	35	78.1% C F	40	47.4%	63	67.4% C F	67	58.5%	386
My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included	69.7% C	59	94.0% C D F	47	23.0%	79	57.1% C	35	77.1% C	40	59.1% C	68	75.5% C	70	62.1%	398
My department/unit treats all employees equitably	53.2% C	59	86.5% A C F	47	22.4%	79	63.7% C	35	73.6% C F	40	42.7%	68	64.3% C	70	54.1%	398
My department/unit is open and transparent in communication	44.3%	59	91.9% A C D F G	47	34.0%	79	60.3%	35	83.2% A C	40	55.3%	68	63.9% C	67	58.5%	395
My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions	59.3% C	59	91.9% A C F	47	33.7%	79	60.3%	35	73.2% C F	40	43.1%	66	67.0% C	67	58.1%	393
My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences	79.2% C	59	84.0% C	47	36.4%	78	70.6% C	35	77.1% C	40	76.7% C	68	73.9% C	67	69.0%	394
My department/unit understands the value of diversity	91.3% C F	59	80.4% C	47	36.2%	79	78.4% C	35	83.2% C	40	66.6% C	68	75.3% C	67	70.0%	395
My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity	66.8%	59	78.5% C	47	43.5%	79	78.4% C		93.6% C F	40	60.2%	68	75.3% C	67	67.6%	395
I feel valued as an employee	56.3% C	59	83.5% C F	47	28.6%	79	60.3% C	35	64.4% C	40	45.8%	66	64.6% C	67	54.8%	393
I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU	46.0%	59	60.1% C F	47	28.2%	79	40.4%	35	70.7% C F	40	22.7%	68	55.0% C F	67	43.6%	395
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college	53.5% C F	59	65.2% C F	47	26.9%	79	41.6%	35	77.1% C D F	40	17.6%	68	50.8% C F	67	44.2%	395
I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit	47.5%	59	78.0% A C F	47	26.2%	77	53.0%	35	83.2% A C F	40	37.7%	68	57.4% C	67	51.1%	393
I would recommend CSU as a place of employment	73.1% C F	59	70.3% C F	47	23.5%	79	89.3% C F	35	81.2% C F	40	42.7%	68	79.9% C F	67	61.0%	395
I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment	54.0% C	59	88.9% A C F	47	25.2%	79	79.2% C F		77.1% C		49.7% C	66	68.5% C	67	58.7%	393

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 97: Performance Review in Last Year

Did you have a performance review in the		Agri Bio Écoi		Agri Res Agri Bio Econ (A) (B)				Hort Land Ani Sci Arch (C) (D)			Ofc Dea (E)	Res ((F)		Soil C Sci (G)	•	p Overal	
last year?	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	
No, I did not have a review	21.9%	12	0.0% ¹	*	15.2%	12	14.7%	5	55.6% A C D F G	22	25.1%	17	20.9%	14	21.1%	83	
Yes, I had a review	78.1% E	44	100.0% ¹	47	84.8% E	67	85.3% E	30	44.4%	18	74.9% E	51	79.1% E	53	78.9%	310	
Total	100.0%	56	100.0%	47	100.0%	79	100.0%	35	100.0%	40	100.0%	68	100.0%	67	100.0%	393	

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05^{*} Values reported for items with $n \ge 3.^{2,3}$

1. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

3. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 98: Performance Review

Please indicate your level of agreement with the	Agri		Agri F Eco	n	Ani		Hort L Arc	h	Ofc De		Res		Soil C Sc	i	Over	rall
following statements about your most recent	(A	_	(B)	_	(C	1	(D)	_	(E)		(F	_	(G)			_
performance review.	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop
PERFORMANCE REVIEW OVERALL	79.7%	44	86.4%	47	73.7%	67	76.7%	30	85.0%	18	76.3%	51	81.7%	53	79.2%	310
I am satisfied with the effort my supervisor put into my most recent performance review	72.3%	44	91.5% C F	47	63.1%	67	83.3%	30	100.0% C F	18	58.7%	51	86.5% C F	53	76.1%	310
I fear negative job consequences if I am to raise an issue of unfair treatment during my review*	28.1% G	44	8.4%	47	38.9% B D G	-	8.1%	30	13.6%	18	31.0% G	51	0.0%	53	20.4%	310
I am aware of the process to mediate disagreements with my supervisor regarding my evaluation.	66.7%	44	67.7%	47	58.0%	67	46.8%	30	54.9%	18	70.3%	51	58.6%	53	61.6%	310

Asked only of those respondents who had a performance review in the last year.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.

*Reverse coded when included in overall rating 1,2,3

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 99: Respect

			Agri F	Res			Hort L						Soil C			
Thinking about your work environment during the past 12		_	Eco		Ani		Arc		Ofc D		Res		Sc	-	Ove	rall
months, please indicate your level of agreement with the	(A)	(B))	(C)	(D))	(E)		(F)	(G)		
following statements about respect.	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
RESPECT OVERALL	62.8% C		69.7% C F	47	45.8%	77	51.4%	35	68.7% C	40	51.1%	67	63.0% C	67	57.9%	389
My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division	52.8%	56	66.0%	47	48.5%	79	56.3%	35	96.4% A C D F G		41.5%	68	55.4%	67	56.8%	393
My college/division is treated with respect by CSU	54.6% F	56	63.0% F	47	53.7% F	77	63.2% F	35	55.8% F	40	23.9%	68	55.4% F	67	51.1%	390
The people I interact with treat each other with respect	79.9% C		86.1% C	47	29.4%	79	64.4% C	35	93.6% C D F	40	64.7% C	68	89.3% C F	67	69.5%	393
There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit	60.5%	56	68.2%	47	47.0%	79	35.6%	35	45.9%	40	56.5%	67	53.5%	67	53.1%	391
There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit	73.1% C		88.9% C	47	33.5%	79	63.2% C	35	83.7% C	40	68.0% C	67	72.7% C	67	66.2%	391
There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit	56.0%	56	46.2%	47	55.2%	79	25.7%	35	36.7%	40	51.1%	67	51.5%	67	48.4%	391

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 100: Favoritism

During the past 12 months, please indicate your level of	Agri E	Bio	Agri I Eco	n	Ani S	ci	Hort L Arc	h	Ofc D		Res		Soil C Sc	i .	Ove	rall
agreement with the following statements about	(A)		(B))	(C)		(D		(E	1	(F)	(G)		
favoritism. Favoritism plays a role in who gets:	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
FAVORITISM OVERALL	40.8% B D F G	56	7.3%	47	57.2% B D E F G	79	18.3%	35	23.1%	40	22.4%	67	14.2%	65	28.7%	389
Recognized within my department/unit	47.6% B G	56	8.4%	47	68.0% B D F G	-	24.9%	35	48.4% B G		34.2%	67	17.2%	65	37.7%	389
Resources in my department/unit	43.0% B	56	8.4%	47	69.2% A B D E F G	79	24.9%	35	25.2%	40	26.6%	67	23.9%	65	34.7%	389
Professional development opportunities	44.7% B D E F G	56	8.4%	47	28.2% F G	79	10.6%	35	16.3%	40	7.6%	67	7.9%	65	18.5%	389
Promoted in my department/unit	32.4% B	56	0.0%	47	46.0% B D E F G	79	17.5%	35	12.8%	40	25.7% B	67	11.1%	65	23.2%	389
Hired in my department/unit	36.2% B G	56	11.1%	47	74.8% A B D E F G	79	13.5%	35	12.7%	40	18.0%	67	11.1%	65	29.3%	389

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 101: Leadership Accountability: College/Division Leadership

			Agri I	Res			Hort L	and					Soil C	rop		
	Agri	Bio	Eco	n	Ani S	Sci	Arc	h	Ofc D	ean	Res	Ctr	Sc	i	Ove	rall
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your	(A))	(B))	(C)	(D)		(E))	(F)	(G)		
level of agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
COLLEGE/DIVISION LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	43.9%	49	51.6% C	47	28.3%	79	40.9%	35	55.9% C	40	40.5%	66	42.1%	65	41.7%	381
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	52.6%	49	57.1%	47	35.4%	79	49.4%	35	54.1%	40	43.4%	68	50.9%	65	47.6%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	60.4% C D	49	41.7%	47	28.2%	79	14.7%	35	53.6% D	40	47.1% D	68	44.3%	65	41.5%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	29.7%	49	36.3%	47	15.5%	79	14.3%	35	48.0% C D	40	34.7%	68	26.1%	67	28.4%	386
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	60.7%	49	73.3% C	47	41.4%	79	70.5% C	35	93.6% A C F G	40	55.0%	68	61.2%	67	61.6%	386
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	38.6%	49	47.1%	47	28.5%	79	40.0%	35	53.5%	40	37.7%	66	29.2%	67	37.4%	383
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	21.6%	49	53.8% A C	47	20.7%	79	56.2% A C		32.6%	40	36.1%	68	42.5%	67	35.8%	386

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 102: Leadership Accountability: Department/Unit Leadership

	Agri	Bio	Agri F Eco		Ani	Sci	Hort L Arc		Ofc D	ean	Res	Ctr	Soil C Sc		Ove	rall
Thinking about the past 12 months, please indicate your	(A))	(B)		(C)	(D)		(E)		(F)	(G)		
level of agreement about leadership accountability.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT LEADERSHIP ACCOUNTABILITY OVERALL	50.6% C	49	70.6% C G	47	28.2%	79	55.1% C	35	58.1% C	38	54.2% C	68	41.5%	65	48.7%	381
Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior	55.5%	49	70.6% C	47	30.6%	79	67.8% C	35	64.5% C	40	50.0%	68	54.9%	65	53.2%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior	58.4%	49	55.2%	47	31.4%	79	33.1%	35	64.5% C	40	61.8% C	68	44.2%	65	49.0%	383
Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace	34.6%	49	57.0% C D G	47	18.5%	79	18.4%	35	37.1%	40	39.8%	68	25.8%	67	32.2%	386
Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace	60.7%	49	73.3% C	47	41.4%	79	70.5% C	35	93.6% A C F G	40	55.0%	68	61.2%	67	61.6%	386
Leadership addresses issues of inequity	60.7% C G	49	78.7% C G	47	30.0%	79	71.8% C G	35	56.9%	38	58.0% C G	68	33.0%	67	51.8%	384
Leadership holds all employees to the same standards	21.6%	49	70.3% A C E G	47	19.3%	79	60.3% A C	35	32.6%	40	44.1% C	68	39.5%	67	38.8%	386

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 103: Climate: CSU Overall

			Agri F	Res			Hort L	and					Soil C	rop		
Thinking about your work environment during the last 12	Agri		Eco		Ani		Arc		Ofc De		Res		Sc		Over	rall
months, please indicate your level of agreement	(A	1	(B)		(C		(D)		(E)	1	(F		(G))		
regarding the climate.	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
CSU CLIMATE OVERALL	50.9%	49	59.4%	47	53.1%	79	67.9%	35	79.1%	39	53.9%	68	62.7%	67	59.4%	385
									ACF							
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	65.4%	53	56.3%	47	61.2%	79	85.3%	35	90.1%	40	71.1%	68	68.6%	67	69.3%	390
									ВC							
Improves the campus climate for all employees	50.4%	53	53.3%	47	45.3%	79	67.3%	35	69.2%	39	43.6%	68	58.8%	67	53.4%	389
Retains diverse employees	31.2%	49	37.0%	47	35.4%	79	59.9%	35	62.8%	40	50.9%	68	48.1%	67	45.1%	386
Creates a supportive environment for employees from	43.3%	49	55.1%	47	56.7%	79	44.0%	35	83.7%	40	58.4%	68	52.9%	67	56.1%	386
diverse backgrounds									ADG							
Encourages discussions related to diversity	73.9%	49	82.3%	47	80.3%	79	85.3%	35	100.0%	40	67.6%	68	70.3%	67	78.2%	386
									F G							
Provides employees with a positive work experience	58.0%	49	73.0%	47	46.0%	79	79.2%	35	70.7%	40	49.7%	68	76.1%	67	62.3%	386
			С				С						CF			
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all	41.8%	49	59.0%	47	46.7%	79	54.4%	35	77.6%	40	35.8%	68	63.9%	67	52.6%	386
employees									ACF				F			

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 104: Climate: Department/Unit

Thinking about your work environment during the last 12	Agri	Bio	Agri F Eco		Ani	Sci	Hort L Arc		Ofc D	ean	Res	Ctr	Soil C Sc		Over	rall
months, please indicate your level of agreement regarding	(A)		(B)		(C	1	(D)	1	(E		(F)		(G)	1	Det	Den
the climate.		Pop		Рор		Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct			Pop		Pop		Pop
DEPARTMENT/UNIT CLIMATE OVERALL	59.7% C	49	72.6% C	45	32.5%	78	67.3% C	35	74.8% C	39	59.0% C	68	64.2% C	65	58.5%	378
Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds	90.7% B C	53	50.0%	47	44.5%	79	71.8%	35	79.6% C	40	67.6% C	68	71.6% C	67	66.3%	390
Improves the campus climate for all employees	54.4% C	53	81.5% C	47	22.1%	79	75.1% C	35	65.0% C	39	71.3% C	68	55.7% C	67	57.2%	389
Retains diverse employees	60.6% C	49	40.0%	47	22.4%	79	60.4% C	35	52.4% C	40	58.9% C	68	51.9% C	67	47.5%	386
Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds	43.3%	49	55.1%	47	56.7%	79	44.0%	35	83.7% A D G	40	58.4%	68	52.9%	67	56.1%	386
Encourages discussions related to diversity	73.9%	49	91.0% C F		51.1%	79	78.4% C	35	93.6% C F	40	56.4%	68	77.2% C	67	71.2%	386
Provides employees with a positive work experience	50.4% C	49	93.7% A C F	45	25.8%	79	79.2% C	35	77.1% C	40	61.8% C	68	80.3% A C	65	62.9%	381
Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees	45.1%	49	78.7% A C F		27.0%	78	54.4%	35	67.2% C F	40	37.7%	68	63.2% C F	67	50.6%	385

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 105: Communications: CSU Overall

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the	Agri (A	-	Agri F Eco (B)	n	Ani S (C	. 1	Hort La Arcl (D)		Ofc D (E)		Res (F		Soil C Sc (G)	i	Ove	rall
following statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
CSU COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	51.7%	48	34.7%	42	43.9%	79	68.4% B C F	32	72.2% B C F	34	36.5%	65	58.5% B F	62	49.9%	363
Communications are effective	56.8%	49	26.3%	44	43.0%	79	64.6% B	32	53.9%	39	36.6%	65	52.0%	62	46.2%	371
Communications are timely	53.9%	49	62.5%	44	46.1%	79	77.0%	32	60.2%	34	53.2%	68	76.9% C	62	59.6%	370
Communications are relevant	49.5%	49	33.3%	42	39.4%	79	56.7%	32	82.1% A B C F		26.0%	68	57.1% F	62	46.5%	372
Communications are informative	59.5% B F	49	27.1%	44	50.5%	79	79.7% B F	32	85.0% B C F		29.7%	68	58.0% B F	62	52.4%	374
Communications are motivating	23.3%	48	10.5%	44	23.1%	79	35.7%	32	41.0% B	39	26.0%	68	46.1% B	62	28.9%	373
Communications are honest	43.5%	49	24.9%	44	33.8%	79	65.2% B C		68.8% B C		40.9%	68	53.9%	62	45.0%	374
Communications are accessible	71.9%	49	68.9%	44	71.5%	79	100.0% C F G	32	86.7% F	39	57.5%	68	65.9%	62	71.8%	374

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 106: Communications: Division/College

Thinking about work communications over the last 12	Agri	Bio	Agri I Eco		Ani s	Sci	Hort L Arc		Ofc D	ean	Res	Ctr	Soil C Sc		Over	all
months, please indicate your level of agreement with the	(A))	(B))	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G))		
following statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
COLLEGE/DIVISION COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	55.4%	48	73.1% C F	44	40.0%	79	65.8% C F	-	76.3% C F	34	38.6%	62	60.2% C F	58	55.0%	358
Communications are effective	52.7%	49	73.9% C F	44	35.8%	79	61.3%	32	86.7% A C F	39	40.5%	65	58.8%	62	54.8%	371
Communications are timely	55.1%	49	84.7% C	44	46.4%	79	77.5% C	32	67.6%	34	58.3%	68	65.8%	62	62.3%	370
Communications are relevant	58.4%	49	74.0% C F	44	41.0%	79	65.2% F	32	82.1% C F	39	33.5%	68	63.0% F	58	55.7%	370
Communications are informative	65.6% F	49	76.5% C F	44	44.0%	79	80.2% C F	-	82.1% C F	39	37.2%	68	65.0% F	62	60.0%	374
Communications are motivating	32.4%	48	53.9% C D F	44	21.1%	79	20.3%	32	33.9%	39	23.1%	66	50.0% C F	62	33.0%	370
Communications are honest	43.5%	49	68.6% C	44	36.1%	79	60.8%	32	75.9% A C F		44.7%	68	63.8% C	62	53.3%	374
Communications are accessible	75.9%	49	80.0%	44	55.6%	79	95.6% C F G		86.7% C		65.0%	68	65.0%	62	71.1%	374

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 107: Communications: Department/Unit

Thinking about work communications over the last 12 months, please indicate your level of agreement with the	Agri (A		Agri R Ecor (B)	า	Ani S (C)		Hort L Arc (D	h	Ofc D (E	-	Res (F		Soil C Sc (G)	i .	Ove	rall
following statements about communications.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
DEPARTMENT/UNIT COMMUNICATIONS OVERALL	51.9%	49	90.9% A C F G	43	42.7%	79	72.9% C	32	73.5% C	34	54.4%	62	61.7% C	62	60.5%	363
Communications are effective	60.4%	49	100.0% A C F G		45.5%	79	68.7%	32	82.6% C	39	59.2%	62	65.8%	62	65.7%	368
Communications are timely	57.5%	49	96.8% A C E F G	44	52.9%	79	77.5%	32	60.2%	34	56.4%	68	61.7%	62	63.7%	370
Communications are relevant	56.0%	49	91.1% A C F	44	45.4%	79	81.0% C F	-	93.4% A C F		43.6%	68	65.6%	62	63.3%	374
Communications are informative	50.4%	49	96.8% A C F G		42.9%	79	80.2% C	32	86.7% A C		63.8%	68	64.2%	62	65.4%	374
Communications are motivating	29.2%	49	67.9% A C F	44	20.0%	79	39.3%	32	45.2%	39	34.0%	68	46.7% C	62	38.1%	374
Communications are honest	36.8%	49	90.8% A C F	43	36.4%	79	67.9% C		75.9% A C		60.2% C	68	67.1% A C	62	59.0%	373
Communications are accessible	73.1%	49	91.1% C G	44	55.7%	79	95.6% C F G		86.7% C		65.5%	68	60.9%	62	71.5%	374

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 108: Communicated Feedback

During the past 12 months, have you had the	Agri E (A)	lio	Agri R Ecoı (B)		Ani S (C)	ci	Hort La Arch (D)		Ofc De (E)	ean	Res ((F)	Ctr	Soil Ci Sci (G)		Overa	all
opportunity to communicate feedback to CSU?	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Yes, I have the opportunity to provide feedback	47.8%	26	59.3% D F	25	30.9%	25	23.4%	8	37.2%	15	29.5%	20	57.2% C D F	36	40.6%	153
Maybe, I can provide feedback in limited situations	39.4%	21	34.0%	14	53.4% G	42	35.7%	12	50.1%	20	49.1%	34	26.3%	16	42.2%	159
No, I don't have an opportunity to provide feedback	12.9%	7	6.7%	*	15.7%	12	40.9% B	13	12.7%	5	21.3%	15	16.5%	10	17.3%	65
Total	100.0%	53	100.0%	42	100.0%	79	100.0%	32	100.0%	40	100.0%	68	100.0%	62	100.0%	378

Results are based on two-sided tests. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears in the category with the larger column proportion.

Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): $.05^*$ Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.^{1,2}

1. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

2. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Table 109: Responsiveness to Feedback

			Agri R	es			Hort La	and					Soil C	rop		
	Agri	Bio	Ecol	า	Ani S	Sci	Arcl	h	Ofc D	ean	Res	Ctr	Sc	i	Over	rall
When I use the following channels, leadership is	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)		(E)	(F)	(G))		
responsive to my feedback:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
RESPONSIVENESS TO FEEDBACK OVERALL	52.4%	45	70.0% C F	39	35.5%	63	64.5% C F	16	55.5% C	35	37.0%	54	52.1%	48	49.4%	300
One on one conversations with my supervisor	87.1% C F	45	100.0% C F	39	57.6%	67	87.4%	19	73.4%	35	60.9%	54	81.1%	48	75.3%	307
My representation in shared governance (CPC, APC, or Faculty Council)	40.9% C F	47	35.3%	39	13.5%	63	26.1%	19	29.3%	35	11.3%	54	37.6% F	48	26.5%	304
My service on committees	41.3%	47	87.0% A C F G	39	40.6%	65	75.8%	16	55.6%	35	37.8%	54	47.8%	48	50.9%	304
Annual review process	36.1%	47	93.5% A C F G	39	51.6%	64	69.9%	16	63.4%	35	36.4%	54	55.7%	48	54.9%	303
Input collection through anonymous surveys	52.6% C	-	34.5%	39	11.5%	64	61.2% C	16	55.6% C	35	38.5% C	-	38.2%	48	37.5%	302

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback.

Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 110: Feedback Valued

	Agri I	Bio	Agri Res E	Agri Res Econ		Ani Sci		Hort Land Arch		Ofc Dean		Ctr	Soil Crop So		Over	all
When I give feedback it is valued	(A))	(B)	(B)		(C)		(D)		(E)			(G)			
by:	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
FEEDBACK VALUED OVERALL	46.8%	45	50.3%	39	31.2%	65	41.5%	16	54.5% C	35	32.6%	54	48.7%	48	42.2%	303
CSU overall	29.3% B	47	0.0%	39	20.3%	65	31.1%	16	50.5% B C	35	23.5%	54	30.5% B	48	25.3%	304
My division/college	45.4%	45	50.9% F	39	31.2%	65	31.1%	16	46.7%	35	16.7%	54	55.2% F	48	38.9%	303
My department/unit	62.9%	47	100.0% A C E F G		41.1%	67	67.4%	19	66.5%	35	57.5%	54	60.2%	48	62.2%	308

Asked only of those respondents who yes or maybe had the opportunity to communicate feedback. Percent "Agree" or "Strongly agree"

The reported population reflects the number of respondents who answered the survey item.^{1,2,3}

1. Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears in the category with the larger mean. Significance level for upper case letters (A, B, C): .05

2. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

Table 111: Discriminatory Attitudes: CSU Overall

	Agri	Die	Agri F Eco		Ani	Ani Sci		Hort Land Arch		Ofc Dean		Res Ctr		Soil Crop Sci		rall
Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently problematic.	Agri Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Рор	Pct		1	Pop		Рор	Over Pct	Pop
Age	12.4%	7	3.0%	*	13.4%	11	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	6.4%	4	3.6%		7.2%	29
Physical appearance	18.4%	11	3.0%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	6.4%	4	2.9%	*	6.0%	24
Physical disability	18.5%	11	3.0%	*	9.3%	7	7.4%	*	17.3%	7	6.4%	4	3.6%	*	9.1%	36
Mental disability	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	5	0.0%	*	17.3%	7	0.0%	*	7.3%	5	4.9%	20
Employment classification	24.5%	14	20.3%	10	12.9%	10	6.9%	*	30.1%	12	21.3%	15	20.2%	14	19.4%	77
Gender identity	18.5%	11	3.0%	*	8.2%	6	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.7%	*	9.2%	6	7.6%	30
Job title	10.8%	6	4.4%	*	17.3%	14	18.3%	6	12.8%	5	17.6%	12	15.4%	11	14.2%	56
Parental status	16.1%	9	5.1%	*	3.5%	*	0.0%	*	17.3%	7	7.5%	5	2.9%	*	7.2%	29
Religion	4.1%	*	21.3%	10	13.9%	11	19.4%	7	30.1%	12	11.2%	8	0.0%	*	12.5%	50
Political affiliation	18.0%	11	23.1%	11	30.2%	24	26.8%	9	48.9%	20	26.5%	18	12.8%	9	25.5%	101
Sexual orientation	18.5%	11	0.0%	*	4.9%	4	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	4.4%	17
Socio-economic status	18.5%	11	0.0%	*	6.5%	5	0.0%	*	12.8%	5	13.9%	9	2.9%	*	8.2%	33
Ethnic origin	18.4%	11	6.0%	*	6.2%	5	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	2.9%	*	5.8%	23
Veteran status	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.2%	5
Race or color	20.8%	12	8.1%	4	21.6%	17	11.4%	4	6.4%	*	11.2%	8	19.1%	13	15.3%	61
Marital status	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.9%	8
Nationality/country of origin	8.8%	5	3.0%	*	6.6%	5	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	8.9%	6	2.9%	*	5.7%	22
None/no response	68.4%	40	44.6%	21	43.4%	34	61.8%	21	33.8%	14	52.2%	36	63.7%	45	52.9%	211

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with n >= 3.

Table 112: Discriminatory Attitudes: Division/College

	Aari	Pio	Agri Res Econ		Ani Sci		Hort Land Arch		Ofc Dean		Res Ctr		Soil Crop Sci		Overall	
Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently problematic.	Agri Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop	Pct	Pop		Pop		Pop	Pct	Рор		Pop
Age	12.5%		3.0%	*	15.6%		7.4%	*	12.8%		-		2.0%	*	8.7%	
Physical appearance	6.5%		3.0%	*	16.2%		0.0%	*	6.4%	*	10.1%	7	11.2%	8		
Physical disability	10.6%		0.0%	*	9.3%		0.0%	*	17.3%	7	6.4%	4	2.9%	*	6.8%	
Mental disability	22.1%		0.0%	*	6.4%		0.0%	*	12.8%			*	0.0%	*	5.8%	
Employment classification	20.9%	-	18.8%	9	17.8%		7.4%	*	19.2%		15.0%	10	12.8%	9	16.3%	-
Gender identity	24.5%		6.0%	-	25.1%		11.4%		16.8%		7.5%	5	5.0%		14.2%	
Job title	8.4%		7.4%		25.6%		11.4%		12.8%		21.4%	15	12.9%		15.4%	
Parental status	16.1%	9	0.0%	*	3.5%	*	0.0%	*	17.3%	7	7.5%	5	2.9%	*	6.6%	26
Religion	4.1%	*	3.0%	*	14.0%	11	12.6%	4	6.4%	*	6.4%	4	0.0%	*	6.6%	26
Political affiliation	24.5%	14	5.1%	*	33.8%	27	38.2%	13	32.0%	13	17.6%	12	19.1%	13	23.9%	95
Sexual orientation	18.5%	11	0.0%	*	12.4%	10	11.4%	4	16.8%	7	0.0%	*	3.7%	*	8.5%	34
Socio-economic status	6.5%	4	0.0%	*	17.2%	14	4.0%	*	12.8%	5	13.9%	9	2.9%	*	8.9%	36
Ethnic origin	16.1%	9	6.0%	*	12.4%	10	0.0%	*	16.8%	7	0.0%	*	21.1%	15	11.0%	44
Veteran status	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.6%	*
Race or color	18.5%	11	8.1%	4	28.0%	22	7.4%	*	6.4%	*	3.7%	*	11.2%	8	13.2%	52
Marital status	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.9%	8
Nationality/country of origin	4.1%	*	3.0%	*	14.1%	11	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	9.2%	6	6.0%	24
None/no response	60.5%	35	76.1%	36	42.3%	34	54.4%	19	46.7%	19	57.3%	39	69.0%	48	57.7%	230

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with n >= 3.

Table 113: Discriminatory Attitudes: Department/Unit

Please indicate if discriminatory attitudes are currently	Agri Bio			Agri Res Econ		Ani Sci		Hort Land Arch		Ofc Dean		Res Ctr		rop i	Overall	
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Age	14.2%	8	3.0%	*	16.7%	13	14.3%	5	12.8%	5	7.3%	5	5.7%	4	10.6%	42
Physical appearance	4.1%	*	3.0%	*	27.3%	22	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	5.0%	3	7.9%	32
Physical disability	10.6%	6	0.0%	*	9.3%	7	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.7%	*	2.9%	*	5.2%	21
Mental disability	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	5	0.0%	*	12.8%	5	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	3.2%	13
Employment classification	21.2%	12	11.4%	5	39.9%	32	6.9%	*	12.8%	5	22.2%	15	16.5%	12	21.0%	84
Gender identity	4.1%	*	3.0%	*	45.0%	36	7.4%	*	6.4%	*	11.2%	8	8.6%	6	14.7%	58
Job title	10.4%	6	3.0%	*	36.6%	29	10.9%	4	12.8%	5	11.2%	8	12.9%	9	15.6%	62
Parental status	16.1%	9	0.0%	*	15.7%	12	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	15.0%	10	5.9%	4	9.8%	39
Religion	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	13.6%	11	19.4%	7	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	0.0%	*	5.7%	23
Political affiliation	18.0%	11	5.1%	*	58.2%	46	23.5%	8	19.2%	8	7.5%	5	2.9%	*	20.6%	82
Sexual orientation	6.5%	4	0.0%	*	23.7%	19	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	0.0%	*	7.0%	28
Socio-economic status	8.2%	5	5.1%	*	27.8%	22	4.0%	*	6.4%	*	10.1%	7	2.9%	*	10.6%	42
Ethnic origin	16.1%	9	3.0%	*	24.8%	20	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	2.9%	*	9.5%	38
Veteran status	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.3%	5
Race or color	16.1%	9	8.1%	4	42.0%	33	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	2.9%	*	12.9%	51
Marital status	4.1%	*	0.0%	*	13.5%	11	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	2.9%	*	5.1%	20
Nationality/country of origin	4.1%	*	3.0%	*	23.3%	18	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	2.9%	*	6.8%	27
None/no response	62.6%	37	75.4%	35	20.6%	16	62.2%	22	80.8%	32	52.6%	36	74.9%	52	58.0%	231

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 114: Other Potential Problems: CSU Overall

			Agri F	les			Hort Land						Soil Crop			
Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Agri	Agri Bio		n	Ani Sci		Arch		Ofc Dean		Res Ctr		Sci		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Sexual harassment	11.6%	7	7.9%	4	10.7%	9	0.0%	*	12.8%	5	13.9%	9	10.3%	7	10.2%	41
Bullying	0.0%	*	4.9%	*	15.2%	12	6.9%	*	23.7%	9	17.6%	12	6.6%	5	10.8%	43
Bias	24.5%	14	10.2%	5	24.6%	20	18.0%	6	30.1%	12	22.4%	15	19.6%	14	21.6%	86
Physical assault	0.0%	*	3.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	1.0%	4
Sexual misconduct	0.0%	*	7.9%	4	4.9%	4	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	3.7%	*	3.8%	15
Verbal abuse	12.0%	7	4.9%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	23.7%	9	0.0%	*	2.9%	*	5.9%	23
None/no response	68.0%	40	81.9%	39	75.4%	60	75.1%	26	69.9%	28	71.2%	49	80.4%	56	74.7%	297

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option. Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 115: Other Potential Problems: Division/College

Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Agri Bio		Agri Res Econ		Ani Sci		Hort Land Arch		Ofc Dean		Res Ctr		Soil Crop Sci		Over	rall
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор
Sexual harassment	11.6%	7	0.0%	*	10.9%	9	0.0%	*	19.2%	8	3.8%	*	5.0%	3	7.3%	29
Bullying	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	21.7%	17	18.8%	7	12.8%	5	11.2%	8	6.6%	5	10.3%	41
Bias	31.2%	18	13.2%	6	31.5%	25	28.7%	10	40.5%	16	21.4%	15	8.6%	6	24.2%	96
Physical assault	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.6%	*
Sexual misconduct	2.3%	*	0.0%	*	3.2%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	0.0%	*	2.3%	9
Verbal abuse	12.0%	7	0.0%	*	7.6%	6	4.0%	*	19.2%	8	3.8%	*	2.9%	*	6.7%	27
None/no response	61.3%	36	86.8%	41	66.8%	53	59.9%	21	59.5%	24	78.6%	54	87.7%	61	72.7%	289

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested. * Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.

Table 116: Other Potential Problems: Department

		Agri Res			Res			Hort Land					Soil Crop			
Please indicate if any of the following are currently	Agri	Agri Bio		Econ		Ani Sci		Arch		ean	Res Ctr		Sci		Over	all
problematic.	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Рор	Pct	Pop
Sexual harassment	11.6%	7	0.0%	*	20.3%	16	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	2.9%	*	7.5%	30
Bullying	8.2%	5	3.0%	*	40.9%	32	4.0%	*	6.4%	*	18.5%	13	2.9%	*	14.4%	57
Bias	33.7%	20	8.1%	4	56.8%	45	13.9%	5	23.7%	9	14.8%	10	6.6%	5	24.5%	98
Physical assault	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	0.6%	*
Sexual misconduct	6.4%	4	0.0%	*	6.2%	5	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	0.0%	*	0.0%	*	2.8%	11
Verbal abuse	16.1%	9	0.0%	*	24.0%	19	0.0%	*	6.4%	*	3.8%	*	2.9%	*	9.0%	36
None/no response	58.9%	34	91.9%	43	31.6%	25	82.0%	29	76.3%	31	74.0%	51	93.4%	65	69.8%	278

Total may exceed 100% as respondents could select more than one option.

Statistical significance not tested.

* Values reported for items with $n \ge 3$.