Administrative Professional Council

Results dissemination and workshop

Assessment Group for Diversity Issues

Facilitated by Shannon L. Archibeque-Engle, PhD, and Nozipho Becker, PhD

For more information and full results: https://inclusiveexcellence.colostate.edu/data/employee-climate-survey/



THANK YOU

- Institutional Research, Planning, and Effectiveness partnership
- Office for Inclusive Excellence staff
- President, Vice Presidents, and Deans
 - Messages, incentives, and support were critical to success
 - 2018 request for College and Division level reports
- YOU

Office for Inclusive Excellence Assessment Team for Diversity Issues

Claude Jailet, Facilities Management

Kirsten Slaughter-Rice, Administrative Professional Council

Sue Doe, Faculty Council

Meg Skeehan, Classified Personnel Council

Valerie Lewis, Health Network

Kari Dockendorff, School of Education, Assistant Professor

Rickey Frierson, Warner College of Natural Resources

Andrew Norton, Faculty Council

Elizabeth Williams, Communication Studies, Associate Professor

Heather Novak, Institutional Research, Planning, and Effectiveness

Shannon Archibeque-Engle, Office for Inclusive Excellence, Chair



- Purpose is to assess the current employee climate
- Language similar to 2018
- 2018-2021 comparison is priority
- Emphasis on disaggregated data
- Cross tabs by gender, racial minoritized status, and employee type
- College/Division level reports posted
 - Department/unit level reports available by request
- Survey Timeline



- Results
 - Tool
 - Provide an overall picture of employment experiences and perceptions
 - Further CSU's commitment to institutional accountability
 - Be actionable and incite dialogue
 - Inform policies, initiatives, and opportunities that will provide an equitable and exceptional work environment
 - Previous actions: supervisory training, professional development opportunities, unit level Diversity Strategic Plans
 - Provide benchmark for longitudinal data collection and comparison

Work Culture

- My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included
- My department/unit treats all employees equitably
- My department/unit is open and transparent in communication
- My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions
- My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences
- My department/unit understands the value of diversity
- My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity
- I feel valued as an employee
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit
- I would recommend CSU as a place of employment
- I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment



Climate

- Recruit's employees from a diverse set of backgrounds
- Improves the campus climate for all employees
- Retains diverse employees
- Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds
- Encourages discussions related to diversity
- Provides employees with a positive work experience
- Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees

Leadership Accountability

- Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior
- Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior
- Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace
- Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace
- Leadership addresses issues of inequity
- Leadership holds all employees to the same standards

Respect

- My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division
- My college/division is treated with respect by CSU
- The people I interact with treat each other with respect
- There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit
- There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit
- There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit

Favoritism plays a role in who gets:

- Recognized within my department/unit
- Resources in my department/unit
- Professional development opportunities
- Promoted in my department/unit
- Hired in my department/unit

Communications:

- Communications are effective
- Communications are timely
- Communications are relevant
- Communications are informative
- Communications are motivating
- Communications are honest
- Communications are accessible

Demographic Questions

- Expanded our demographic questions for the 2021 survey to include follow up questions regarding race/ethnicity and gender identity
- These questions are helping to inform the work done by the disaggregating race/ethnicity committee
- Intersectionality report forthcoming
- Added a question on identifying as a person with a disability
- Added a question about identifying in the LGBTQIA+ community

Data Collection

- Administered via Qualtrix in Fall 2021 to all CSU employees
- Embedded data included employment type, college/division, department/unit; anonymous
- Survey available in Spanish and English (both web-based and paper hard copy)
- <15 minutes to complete
- Results are reported in aggregate and no identifying information reported; confidential

Sample Weighting

 Weighting was used to ensure that sample demographics align with known population parameters.

 Gender, racially minoritized status, college/division, and employment type were used to weight the overall results.

 College/division reports were weighted by racially minoritized status, gender, and employment type as needed.


• For comparison purposes, 2018 results were also weighted in the 2021 report.

Reporting Standards


- Questions on organizational themes were in a 5 point-likert scale- strongly disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, strongly agree.
- Summarize organizational themes with a percent agreement.
- Reports include the response distribution per item as well as cross tabs by gender, employee type, and racially minoritized status at the overall level and the college/division level.
- Department level reports are available by request.
- Reports show weighted sample size...n's.
- Statistical tests are provided in the data tables, today's presentation focuses on average percentage agreement across organizational themes.




CSU Response Rates Over Time



Demographics by Gender




Demographics by Racially Minoritized Status




■ Admin Pro overall

-CSU Overall



Organizational Themes by Gender

■ Man ■ Woman ■ T/NB/NC — Admin Pro overall




Themes by Racially Minoritized Status

■ Racially minoritized


Non-racially minoritized

Admin Pro overall




Themes Compared Over Time


■2021 ■2018




Themes Over Time by Gender




Themes Over Time by Racially Minoritized Status




Themes Over Time by Employee Type




CSU Perceptions Over Time




Admin Professionals Perceptions Over Time



Reported Discriminatory Attitudes Over Time



Harassment/Other Problematic Behaviors Over Time



0%: small sample size, $n \le 3$


Average Percent Agreement

A Multicultural Organization

Jackson, Hardiman, and Holvino

- 1. Clear <u>commitment</u> to creating an inclusive organization
- 2. Seeks, develops, and values the contributions and talents of all members
- 3. Includes all members as active participants in decisions that shape the organization
- 4. Employees reflect diverse social and cultural groups throughout all levels of the organization; and demonstrate the multicultural competencies to serve the increasingly diverse student populations
- 5.<u>Acts</u> on its commitment to <u>eliminate</u> all forms of <u>exclusion/discrimination</u> within the organization, including racism, sexism, heterosexism, ageism, classism, ableism, religious oppression, etc.
- 6. Follows through on broader social and environmental responsibilities

Multicultural Organization Development Continuum



Key Findings: CSU Administrative Professionals

- Compared to CSU overall, administrative professionals had slightly higher average agreements in nearly all organizational themes in 2021. Rates were highest (65%) regarding work culture and respect. However, the average agreements (41%) were the lowest regarding college/division leadership accountability.
- Compared to college/division overall, both women and transgender and gender nonconforming (T/NC/NB) employees had lower average agreements across all themes, particularly regarding CSU climate and college/division and department/unit leadership accountability. In addition, both women and T/NC/NB reported the highest agreements regarding favoritism, which is undesirable.
- Compared to college/division overall, racially minoritized employees had lower average agreements in nearly all themes in 2021, with lowest rates regarding CSU climate and college/division leadership accountability.
- Compared to college/division overall, state classified employees reported undesirable average agreements across all organizational themes, with comparable rates around favoritism. Both admin professionals and state classified employees reported lowest rates around college/division and department/unit leadership accountability.
- When looking at perceptions over time for the division, we found a decrease in average agreements in nearly all themes in 2021 compared to 2018. Average agreements were lowest (<50%) regarding retention of employees from diverse backgrounds. There was an increase in average agreements (70%) among employees who reported that the division encourages discussions related to diversity.
- While there was a decrease in reported discriminatory attitudes in nearly all themes in 2021 compared to 2018, we found less desirable average agreements related to socio-economic status, nationality/country of origin, and mental/physical disability.
- Additionally, reported themes showed a slight increase in problematic behaviors related to bias and bullying in 2021. There were no changes in reported themes regarding verbal abuse and sexual harassment/misconduct in 2021 compared to 2018.

Additional Information

Please visit the Office for Inclusive Excellence (OIE) website and review the following reports:

- > Overall CSU report
- College/Division Report

Visit the Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Effectiveness (IR) and review detailed CSU Employee Data for additional information.

Please visit the following websites and explore a variety of resources and training opportunities (FREE) available to support faculty and staff across CSU:

DEI training opportunities (OIE)

Faculty Success (Office of the Provost, OIE, IR)

Faculty Institute for Inclusive Excellence (OIE)

Chairs & Heads Institute for Inclusive Excellence (Office of the Provost, OIE, IR)



What do you notice?

What suggestions do you have for using this data at the university and college/division levels?

How do you see yourself individually or as a team using the ECS results to create an inclusive work environment?

- Small Groups
- 15-20 minutes
- Name, pronouns
- Be prepared to share out



Thank you

