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2021 Employee Climate Survey

- Purpose is to assess the current employee climate
- Language similar to 2018
- 2018-2021 comparison is priority
- Emphasis on disaggregated data
- Cross tabs by gender, racial minoritized status, and employee type
- College/Division level reports posted
  - Department/unit level reports available by request
- Survey Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey Launched</strong></td>
<td><strong>Data Preparation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Results Dissemination</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 19th</td>
<td>Data analysis</td>
<td>Hired a Qualitative &amp; Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Report writing</td>
<td>Research Analyst</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Report reported at university</td>
<td>College/division level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department/unit level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey Closed</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 22nd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2021 Employee Climate Survey

• Results
  • Tool

  • Provide an overall picture of employment experiences and perceptions
  • Further CSU’s commitment to institutional accountability
  • Be actionable and incite dialogue
    • Inform policies, initiatives, and opportunities that will provide an equitable and exceptional work environment
    • Previous actions: supervisory training, professional development opportunities, unit level Diversity Strategic Plans

  • Provide benchmark for longitudinal data collection and comparison
Organizational Themes

Work Culture

- My department/unit promotes a work environment where all employees feel included
- My department/unit treats all employees equitably
- My department/unit is open and transparent in communication
- My department/unit values employee input in major department/unit decisions
- My department/unit promotes respect for cultural differences
- My department/unit understands the value of diversity
- My department/unit communicates the importance of valuing diversity
- I feel valued as an employee
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to CSU
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my division/college
- I feel a strong sense of belonging to my department/unit
- I would recommend CSU as a place of employment
- I would recommend my department/unit as a place of employment
Organizational Themes

Climate

- Recruit’s employees from a diverse set of backgrounds
- Improves the campus climate for all employees
- Retains diverse employees
- Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds
- Encourages discussions related to diversity
- Provides employees with a positive work experience
- Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees
Organizational Themes

Leadership Accountability

• Leadership adequately addresses inappropriate behavior
• Leadership holds employees accountable for inappropriate behavior
• Leadership holds employees accountable for poor performance in the workplace
• Leadership acts ethically and honestly in the workplace
• Leadership addresses issues of inequity
• Leadership holds all employees to the same standards
Organizational Themes

Respect

- My department/unit is treated with respect by other units within my college/division
- My college/division is treated with respect by CSU
- The people I interact with treat each other with respect
- There is respect for religious differences in my department/unit
- There is respect for liberal perspectives in my department/unit
- There is respect for conservative perspectives in my department/unit
Organizational Themes

Favoritism plays a role in who gets:

- Recognized within my department/unit
- Resources in my department/unit
- Professional development opportunities
- Promoted in my department/unit
- Hired in my department/unit
Organizational Themes

Communications:
• Communications are effective
• Communications are timely
• Communications are relevant
• Communications are informative
• Communications are motivating
• Communications are honest
• Communications are accessible
Demographic Questions

- Expanded our demographic questions for the 2021 survey to include follow up questions regarding race/ethnicity and gender identity

- These questions are helping to inform the work done by the disaggregating race/ethnicity committee

- Intersectionality report forthcoming

- Added a question on identifying as a person with a disability

- Added a question about identifying in the LGBTQIA+ community
Data Collection

• Administered via Qualtrix in Fall 2021 to all CSU employees

• Embedded data included employment type, college/division, department/unit; anonymous

• Survey available in Spanish and English (both web-based and paper hard copy)

• <15 minutes to complete

• Results are reported in aggregate and no identifying information reported; confidential
Sample Weighting

• Weighting was used to ensure that sample demographics align with known population parameters.

• Gender, racially minoritized status, college/division, and employment type were used to weight the overall results.

• College/division reports were weighted by racially minoritized status, gender, and employment type as needed.

• For comparison purposes, 2018 results were also weighted in the 2021 report.
Reporting Standards

• Questions on organizational themes were in a 5 point-likert scale- strongly disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, strongly agree.

• Summarize organizational themes with a percent agreement.

• Reports include the response distribution per item as well as cross tabs by gender, employee type, and racially minoritized status at the overall level and the college/division level.

• Department level reports are available by request.

• Reports show weighted sample size…n’s.

• Statistical tests are provided in the data tables, today’s presentation focuses on average percentage agreement across organizational themes.
## Response Rates by College/Division

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College/Division</th>
<th>Sent</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSU Overall</td>
<td>7911</td>
<td>3457</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Environmental Management of Military Lands</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Administration</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Agricultural Sciences</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Business</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Health and Human Sciences</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Liberal Arts</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Natural Sciences</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado State Forest Service</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Athletics</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Engagement and Extension</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Enrollment and Access</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Equity, Equal Opportunity, and Title IX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of International Programs</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Student Affairs</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of the Vice President for Research</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of University Operations</td>
<td>685</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate School</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Libraries</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Advancement</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Marketing and Communications</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Scott, Jr. College of Engineering</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warner College of Natural Resources</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Demographics by Gender

- Men: 66%
- Women: 34%
Demographics by Racially Minoritized Status

- 90% Non-racially minoritized
- 10% Racially minoritized
Demographics by Employee Type

- Admin Professional: 90%
- State Classified: 9%
- Other Salaried Employees: 1%
Organizational Themes

Average Percent Agreement

- Work Culture: 62%
- CSU Climate: 62%
- Dept/Unit Climate: 60%
- Col/Div Leadership Accountability: 37%
- Dept/Unit Leadership Accountability: 56%
- Respect: 62%
- Favoritism: 27%
Organizational Themes by Gender

Average Percent Agreement
Themes by Racially Minoritized Status

Average Percent Agreement

- Work Culture: Racially minoritized 65%, Non-racially minoritized 39%
- CSU Climate: Racially minoritized 63%, Non-racially minoritized 42%
- Dept/Unit Climate: Racially minoritized 68%, Non-racially minoritized 41%
- Col/Div Leadership Accountability: Racially minoritized 12%, Non-racially minoritized 56%
- Dept/Unit Leadership Accountability: Racially minoritized 62%, Non-racially minoritized 56%
- Respect: Racially minoritized 67%, Non-racially minoritized 33%
- Favoritism: Racially minoritized 67%, Non-racially minoritized 28%
Themes by Employee Type

Average Percent Agreement
Themes Compared Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Culture</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU Climate</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept/Unit Climate</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col/Div Leadership</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept/Unit Leadership Accountability</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favorism</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Percent Agreement
Themes Over Time by Gender

Average Percent Agreement

- **Man**
  - Work Culture: 76% (2018), 62% (2021)
  - CSU Climate: 68% (2018), 60% (2021)
  - Dept/Unit Climate: 66% (2018), 66% (2021)
  - Col/Div Leadership: 51% (2018), 31% (2021)
  - Dept/Unit Accountability: 65% (2018), 45% (2021)
  - Respect: 74% (2018), 71% (2021)
  - Favoritism: 15% (2018), 55% (2021)

- **Woman**
  - Work Culture: 65% (2018), 65% (2021)
  - CSU Climate: 74% (2018), 66% (2021)
  - Dept/Unit Climate: 62% (2018), 62% (2021)
  - Col/Div Leadership: 49% (2018), 42% (2021)
  - Dept/Unit Accountability: 54% (2018), 61% (2021)
  - Respect: 65% (2018), 62% (2021)
  - Favoritism: 33% (2018), 23% (2021)

**TNBNC**
- Work Culture: 69% (2018), 80% (2021)
- CSU Climate: 86% (2018), 86% (2021)
- Dept/Unit Climate: 0% (2018), 17% (2021)
- Col/Div Leadership: 67% (2018), 20% (2021)

Colorado State University
Themes Over Time by Racially Minoritized Status

Average Percent Agreement
Themes Over Time by Employee Type

- Admin pro
- Faculty T/R, CCA
- State class

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Culture</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU Climate</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dep/Unit Climate</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDiv Leadership</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accountability</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept/Unit Leadership</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favoritism</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Percent Agreement</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Red</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CSU Perceptions Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recruits employees from a diverse set of backgrounds</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improves the campus climate for all employees</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retains diverse employees</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creates a supportive environment for employees from diverse backgrounds</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourages discussions related to diversity</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides employees with a positive work experience</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate has become consistently more inclusive of all employees</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Percent Agreement
Reported Discriminatory Attitudes Over Time

- **Socio-economic status**: 1% (2021), 3% (2018)
- **Sexual orientation**: 0% (2021), 2% (2018)
- **Religion**: 0% (2021), 6% (2018)
- **Race/ethnic origin**: 0% (2021), 3% (2018)
- **Political affiliation**: 5% (2021), 17% (2018)
- **Physical appearance**: 1% (2021), 4% (2018)
- **Parental status**: 3% (2021), 6% (2018)
- **Nationality/country of origin**: 1% (2021), 6% (2018)
- **Marital status**: 0% (2021), 1% (2018)
- **Job title**: 12% (2021), 29% (2018)
- **Gender identity**: 3% (2021), 3% (2018)
- **Employment classification**: 9% (2021), 13% (2018)
- **Disability (mental & physical)**: 2% (2021), 4% (2018)
- **Age**: 4% (2021), 14% (2018)

Average Percent Agreement
Harassment/Other Problematic Behaviors Over Time

- **2018 CSU Overall**: 24% (Bias), 17% (Bullying), 8% (Sexual Harassment/misconduct), 0% (Verbal abuse), 1% (Physical assault)
- **2021 CSU Overall**: 20% (Bias), 13% (Bullying), 6% (Sexual Harassment/misconduct), 5% (Verbal abuse), 1% (Physical assault)
- **2018 Office of the VP Research**: 19% (Bias), 6% (Bullying), 1% (Sexual Harassment/misconduct), 2% (Verbal abuse), 0% (Physical assault)
- **2021 Office of the VP Research**: 13% (Bias), 8% (Bullying), 5% (Sexual Harassment/misconduct), 5% (Verbal abuse), 0% (Physical assault)

- Average Percent Agreement: 0% (small sample size, n ≤ 3)
A Multicultural Organization

Jackson, Hardiman, and Holvino

1. Clear commitment to creating an inclusive organization
2. Seeks, develops, and values the contributions and talents of all members
3. Includes all members as active participants in decisions that shape the organization
4. Employees reflect diverse social and cultural groups throughout all levels of the organization; and demonstrate the multicultural competencies to serve the increasingly diverse student populations
5. Acts on its commitment to eliminate all forms of exclusion/discrimination within the organization, including racism, sexism, heterosexism, ageism, classism, ableism, religious oppression, etc.
6. Follows through on broader social and environmental responsibilities
Multicultural Organization Development Continuum

- **Exclusionary**: Exclusion or token presence of marginalized group members.
- **Club**: Marginalized group members encouraged to join but expected to fit in, status quo culture.
- **Compliance**: Culture, climate, and system experience fundamental sustainable change.
- **Affirming**: Multicultural/Inclusive.
What do you notice?

What suggestions do you have for using this data at the university and college/division levels?

How do you see yourself individually or as a team using the ECS results to create an inclusive work environment?

- Small Groups
- 15-20 minutes
- Name, pronouns
- Be prepared to share out
Key Findings: Office of the VP for Research

• Compared to CSU overall, the office of the Vice President (VP) for Research had higher average agreements in nearly all organizational themes in 2021, except for work college/division leadership accountability with the lowest rates at 37%.

• Compared to college/division overall, men had lower average agreements across all themes, except for respect. There were no employees who identified as transgender and gender nonconforming employees in the survey.

• Compared to college/division overall, racially minoritized employees reported undesirable average agreements across all organizational themes, with extremely high rates (33%) for favoritism. In general, all employees had the lowest average agreements for college/division level leadership accountability, with rates <50%.

• When looking at perceptions over time for VP Research, we found a decrease in average agreements in nearly all themes in 2021 compared to 2018. Average agreements were lowest (<50%) regarding retention of employees from diverse backgrounds. There was an increase in average agreements (70%) among employees who reported that the office encourages discussions related to diversity and provides employees with positive work experience.

• While there was a decrease in reported discriminatory attitudes in nearly all themes in 2021 compared to 2018, we found less desirable average agreements related to race/ethnicity. There were no changes in reported themes related to nationality/country of origin and gender identity. Additionally, reported themes showed an increase in problematic behaviors related to verbal abuse and sexual harassment/misconduct in the office of the VP for research in 2021 compared to 2018.
Additional Information

Please visit the Office for Inclusive Excellence (OIE) website and review the following reports:
➢ Overall CSU report
➢ College/Division Report

Visit the Office of Institutional Research, Planning, and Effectiveness (IR) and review detailed CSU Employee Data for additional information.

Please visit the following websites and explore a variety of resources and training opportunities (FREE) available to support faculty and staff across CSU:
DEI training opportunities (OIE)
Faculty Success (Office of the Provost, OIE, IR)
Faculty Institute for Inclusive Excellence (OIE)
Chairs & Heads Institute for Inclusive Excellence (Office of the Provost, OIE, IR)
Thank you